



Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

General Information

Requester Name

Javier Hernandez, D.C.

Respondent Name

AIU Insurance Co

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-26-1524-01

Insurance Carrier's Austin Representative

BOX 19 Flahive Ogden & Latson

DWC Date Received

January 28, 2026

Summary of Findings

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
October 14, 2025	Designated Doctor No-Show 99456-52	\$104.00	\$104.00
Total		\$104.00	\$104.00

Requester's Position

"NO REPONSE TO BILL OR RECONSIDERATION"

Amount In Dispute: \$104.00

Respondent's Position

The Austin carrier representative for AIU Insurance Co. is Flahive, Ogden & Latson. The representative was notified of this medical fee dispute on January 29, 2026.

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(1), if DWC does not receive the response within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then DWC may base its decision on the available information.

As of today, no response has been received from the insurance carrier or its representative. We will base this decision on the information available.

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to Texas Labor Code Section [413.031](#) and other applicable laws and rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Section [133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 TAC Section [134.210](#) sets out the fee guidelines for workers' compensation specific services.
3. 28 TAC Section [134.240](#) sets out the fee guidelines for designated doctor examinations.

Adjustment Reasons

The insurance carrier denied payment for the disputed services with the following reasons:

1. 5264 – Payment is denied-service not authorized.
2. 197 – Precertification/authorization/notification/pre-treatment absent.

Issues

1. What is DWC considering in this medical fee dispute?
2. Is the insurance carrier's denial based on preauthorization supported?
3. Is the requester entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

1. The requester is seeking reimbursement for fees associated with an injured employee failing to attend a designated doctor examination. The insurance carrier denied payment based on lack of preauthorization. DWC will review this dispute in accordance with applicable rules.
2. Per Labor Code Section 408.0041(h), the insurance carrier must pay for a designated doctor examination ordered by DWC "unless otherwise prohibited by this subtitle or by an order or rule of the commissioner." DWC finds that the examination in question is not subject to preauthorization requirements. This denial reason is not supported.
3. Because the insurance carrier failed to support its denial of payment, DWC finds the requester is entitled to reimbursement.

Per 28 TAC §134.240(b), "The designated doctor must bill, and the insurance carrier must reimburse, for a missed appointment when the injured employee does not attend a properly scheduled or rescheduled examination under 28 TAC §127.5(h) - (j).

(1) The designated doctor may bill for the missed appointment fee when:

(A) the injured employee does not attend a scheduled appointment; and

(B) the designated doctor waits at the examination location for at least 30 minutes after the scheduled appointment time.

(2) When billing for the missed appointment, the designated doctor must bill CPT code 99456 with modifier '52.'

(3) Reimbursement for a missed appointment is \$100 adjusted per §134.210(b)(4)."

DWC finds that the adjusted amount for the date of service in question is \$104.00. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence the requester and the respondent presented at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requester has established that reimbursement is due.

Order

Under Texas Labor Code Sections [413.031](#) and [413.019](#), DWC has determined the requester is entitled to reimbursement for the disputed services. It is ordered that AIU Insurance Co. must remit to Javier Hernandez, D.C. \$104.00 plus applicable accrued interest within 30 days of receiving this order in accordance with 28 TAC Section [134.130](#).

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

May 5, 2026

Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC Section [133.307](#), which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit [DWC Form-045M, Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision \(BRC-MFD\)](#) and follow the

instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 800-252-7031, option three or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of this *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in 28 TAC Section [141.1\(d\)](#).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de esta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 800-252-7031, opción tres o correo electronico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.