



## Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

### General Information

**Requestor Name**

Gabriel Jasso PSYD

**Respondent Name**

Twin City Fire Insurance Co

**MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-26-1098-01

**Carrier's Austin Representative**

Box Number 47

**DWC Date Received**

December 12, 2025

### Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
February 21, 2025	90791	\$4.28	\$0.00
February 21, 2025	96130-59	\$5.19	\$0.00
February 21, 2025	96131-59	\$378.31	\$0.00
February 21, 2025	93136-59	\$1.06	\$0.00
February 21, 2025	96137-59	\$.093	\$0.00
February 21, 2025	96138-59	\$0.10	\$0.00
February 21, 2025	96139-59	\$1.10	\$0.00
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$1388.70</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>

### Requestor's Position

"The insurance carrier has not properly paid this claim in accordance with DWC Rules governing the specific services billed."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$1388.70

### Respondent's Position

"After further review of the documentation submitted with this dispute, there is no additional amount warranted."

**Response Submitted by:** The Hartford

## Findings and Decision

### Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to [Texas Labor Code §413.031](#) and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

### Statutes and Rules

1. [28 TAC §133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. [28 TAC §134.203](#) sets out the fee guidelines for outpatient hospital services.

### Denial Reasons

The insurance carrier reduced the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- W3 – Bill is a reconsideration or appeal.
- 193 – Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.
- 309 – The charge for this procedure exceeds the fee schedule allowance.
- P12 – Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.
- 1115 – We find the original review to be accurate and are unable to recommend any additional allowance.
- 2005 – No additional reimbursement allowed after review of appeal/reconsideration.
- 3244 – The billing of the procedure code has exceeded the National Correct Coding Initiative Medically Unlikely Edits amount for the number of times this procedure can be billed on a date of service. An allowance has not been paid.

### Issues

1. What rule is applicable to reimbursement?
2. Did the submitted documentation support the number of units submitted on the medical bill?
3. Is requester entitled to additional reimbursement?

### Findings

1. The requester is seeking additional reimbursement for professional medical services rendered in February of 2025. The insurance carrier reduced the billed amount based on the workers compensation fee schedule. DWC Rule 28 TAC §134.203 (c) (1)(2) states in pertinent part, (c) To determine the Maximum Allowable Reimbursement (MAR) for professional services, system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies with minimal modifications.  
(1) For service categories of Evaluation & Management, General Medicine, Physical

Medicine and Rehabilitation, Radiology, Pathology, Anesthesia, and Surgery when performed in an office setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$52.83...

(2) The conversion factors listed in paragraph (1) of this subsection shall be the conversion factors for calendar year 2008. Subsequent year's conversion factors shall be determined by applying the annual percentage adjustment of the Medicare Economic Index (MEI) to the previous year's conversion factors, and shall be effective January 1st of the new calendar year..."

To determine the MAR the following formula is used:

$(\text{DWC Conversion Factor} / \text{Medicare Conversion Factor}) \times \text{Medicare Payment} = \text{MAR}$ .

- The CMS physician fee schedule rates are published by carrier and locality.
- Disputed service was rendered in zip code 79925, locality 04412/11 Dallas, Texas.
- The disputed date of service is February 21, 2025
- The 2025 DWC Conversion Factor is 70.18.
- The 2025 Medicare Conversion Factor is 32.3465.
- 90791 -  $70.18 / 32.3465 \times \$168.15 = \$364.82$ . The carrier paid \$364.82. No additional payment due.
- 96130 -  $70.18 / 32.3465 \times \$118.02 = \$256.06$ . The carrier paid \$256.06. No additional payment due.
- 96136 -  $70.18 / 32.3465 \times \$40.99 = \$88.93$ . The carrier paid \$88.93. No additional payment due.
- 96137 -  $70.18 / 32.3465 \times \$36.17 \times 3 = \$235.43$ . The carrier paid \$235.44. No additional payment due.
- 96138 -  $70.18 / 32.3465 \times \$33.83 = \$73.40$ . The carrier paid \$73.40. No additional payment due.
- 96139 -  $70.18 / 32.3465 \times \$33.83 \times 11 = \$807.39$ . The carrier paid \$807.40. No additional payment due.

The reduction for code 96131 was based on Medical Unlikely Edit.

DWC Rule 28 TAC §134.203 (b) (1) states.

(b) For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the following:

(1) Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiatives (CCI) edits; modifiers; bonus payments for health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) and physician scarcity areas (PSAs); and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions in the rules.

DWC Rule 28 TAC §134.203(a)(5) states, "Medicare payment policies" when used in this section, shall mean reimbursement methodologies, models, and values or weights including its coding, billing, and reporting payment policies as set forth in the Centers for Medicare

and Medicaid Services (CMS) payment policies specific to Medicare.”

The Medicare payment policy regarding Medically Unlikely Edit (MUE) were implemented by Medicare in 2007. MUE's set a maximum number of units for a specific service that a provider would report under most circumstances for a single patient on a single date of service. Medicare developed MUE edits to detect potentially medically unnecessary services. Although the DWC adopts Medicare payment policies by reference in applicable Rule §134.203, paragraph (a)(7) of that rule states that specific provisions contained in the Division of Workers' Compensation rules shall take precedence over any conflicting provision adopted the Medicare program.

The Medicare MUE payment policy is in direct conflict with Texas Labor Code §413.014 which requires that all determinations of medical necessity shall be made prospectively or retrospective through utilization review; and with Rule §134.600 which sets out the procedures for preauthorization and retrospective review of professional services such as those in dispute here.

The DWC concludes that Labor Code §413.014 and 28 TAC §134.600 take precedence over Medicare MUE's; therefore, the respondent's position statement based on MUE is not supported. The application of Division specific guidelines is shown below.

2. The submitted medical bill indicates code 96131 number of units as nine.

As stated above, DWC Rule 28 TAC §134.203(b)(1) states, “For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the following:

- (1) Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiatives (CCI) edits; modifiers; bonus payments for health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) and physician scarcity areas (PSAs); and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions in the rules.”

The Medicare National Correct Coding Initiative Policy Manual Chapter XI , Section M at <https://www.cms.gov/files/document/11-chapter11-ncci-medicare-policy-manual-2025finalcleanpdf.pdf> states, *psychological/neuropsychological testing (CPT codes 96136-96146), and psychological/ neuropsychological evaluation services (CPT codes 96130-96133) must be distinct services if reported on the same date of service. CPT Professional codebook instructions permit physicians to integrate other sources of clinical data into the report that is generated for CPT codes 96130-96133. Since the procedures described by CPT codes 96130-96139 are timed procedures, providers/suppliers shall not report time for duplicating information (collection or interpretation) included in the psychiatric diagnostic interview examination and/or psychological/neuropsychological evaluation services or test administration and scoring.*

Because this is a time-based code, the medical record documentation should contain the total time spent rendering and interpreting the service, including the stop and start time of test.

The report does not list the start and end time to support the number of hours billed or that the services were distinct of the other services rendered.

The requestor has not supported their request for additional reimbursement of code 96131.

3. Review of the information available at the time of this review finds the insurance carrier paid at the fee schedule amount for codes 90791, 96130, 96136, 96137 96138 and 96139. The documentation submitted did not support the number of units reported for code 96131. No additional payment is recommended.

### Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requester has not established that additional reimbursement is due.

### **Order**

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the disputed services.

### **Authorized Signature**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

January 2, 2026  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

### **Your Right to Appeal**

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at [www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html](http://www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html). DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email [CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov](mailto:CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov).

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electrónico [CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov](mailto:CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov).