



Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

General Information

Requester Name

Daniel Beltran, D.C.

Respondent Name

Texas Mutual Insurance Co.

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-26-0017-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 54

DWC Date Received

September 2, 2025

Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
January 7, 2025	99204-25	\$415.00	\$0.00
January 7, 2025	99080-73	\$15.00	\$0.00
Total		\$430.00	\$0.00

Requester's Position

"We respectfully appeal the denial issued on March 18, 2025, stating 'services not authorized by network/primary care providers.'

- Under, .28 TAC §134.600, the Division's preauthorization rule does not list evaluation and management (E/M) office visits among the services requiring preauthorization."

Amount in Dispute: \$430.00

Respondent's Position

"This claim is in the WorkWell, TX network. Texas Mutual has reviewed the network provider directory for the provider's name and tax identification number and confirmed no record of DANIEL BELTRAN DC as a participant.

"As an out-of-network provider, approval is required before rendering service or treatment. Texas Mutual did not receive or find any evidence of out-of-network approval obtained by the

requestor.”

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Co.

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to [Texas Labor Code \(TLC\) §413.031](#) and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers’ Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. [28 Texas Administrative Code \(TAC\) §133.305](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical disputes.
2. [28 TAC §133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
3. [The Texas Insurance Code \(TIC\) Chapter 1305](#) sets out the general provisions for workers’ compensation health care networks.
4. [28 TAC §§10.120 through 10.122](#) sets out the workers compensation health care networks complaints guidelines.
5. [28 TAC §141.1](#) sets out the guidelines for dispute resolution—benefit review conference.

Denial Reasons

The insurance carrier reduced or denied payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- 243 – SERVICES NOT AUTHORIZED BY NETWORK / PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS.
- D27 – PROVIDER NOT APPROVED TO TREAT WORKWELL, TX NETWORK CLAIMANT.
- DC4 – NO ADDITIONAL REIMBURSEMENT ALLOWED AFTER RECONSIDERATION.
- 93 - ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. UPON REVIEW, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THIS CLAIM WAS PROCESSED PROPERLY.
- W3 & 350 - IN ACCORDANCE WITH TDI-DWC RULE 134.804, THIS BILL HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED AS A REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OR APPEAL.

Issues

1. Were the disputed services provided by the requester out-of-network healthcare?
2. Is the insurance carrier liable for the out-of-network healthcare in this case?

Findings

1. The requester, Daniel Beltran, D.C., submitted medical fee dispute M4-26-0017-01 to the Division of Workers’ Compensation (DWC) for resolution under 28 TAC §133.307. The dispute

involves evaluation and management office visit of a new patient and a Work Status Report rendered on January 7, 2025.

Based on the documentation submitted and information available to DWC, the injured employee's claim is subject to the WorkWell, TX Healthcare Certified Network. At the time the services were rendered, the requester was not a participating provider in this certified network. Therefore, the services were provided on an out-of-network basis.

The requester contends that evaluation and management services rendered do not require prior authorization and asserts that this entitles them to reimbursement under the Texas Labor Code (TLC) and applicable DWC rules. The DWC has jurisdiction to review and resolve medical fee disputes of this nature.

2. The requester seeks reimbursement pursuant to the Texas Labor Code (TLC) and applicable regulations, including 28 TAC §133.307. Liability for out-of-network care is governed by the Texas Insurance Code (TIC) §1305.006, which specifies the limited circumstances under which an insurance carrier is responsible for such care.

TIC §1305.006 identifies three scenarios that may impose liability on an insurance carrier for out-of-network services:

1. Emergency care.
2. Care provided to an employee residing outside any network service area; and
3. Care delivered by an out-of-network provider following a network-approved referral under §1305.103.

Upon review, the Division found no supporting documentation substantiating that the services qualified as emergency care under subsection (1). Additionally, the dispute lacked a valid position statement as required by 28 TAC §133.307(c)(2)(N), which must clearly explain:

- Why the disputed fees should be paid.
- How the relevant TLC and Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC) rules apply to the dispute; and
- How the submitted evidence supports the requester's position.

The requester's statement failed to adequately demonstrate that the care met the statutory definition of "emergency care" as set forth in TIC §1305.004(13). Moreover, the supporting documentation was insufficient to substantiate a claim under this provision.

Regarding subsection (2), the Division found no evidence that the injured employee resided outside the network's service area. Consequently, the requirements to establish liability under this subsection were not satisfied.

With respect to subsection (3), the Division noted no documents confirming a network-approved referral was submitted. Thus, the criteria for liability under this subsection was not met.

Conclusion

After careful consideration of the submitted documentation, the Division concludes that the requester has not met the burden of proof to establish that the disputed services qualify under any of the circumstances outlined in TIC §1305.006. Specifically:

- No evidence was provided to support a claim of emergency care.
- No documentation demonstrated that the injured employee resided outside the network's service area; and
- No network-approved out-of-network referral was presented.

Accordingly, the requester has not shown that the insurance carrier is liable for payment of the out-of-network services. Therefore, the dispute is resolved in favor of the insurance carrier.

Order

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code 413.031, the DWC hereby determines that the requester is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the services in dispute.

Authorized Signature

_____	_____	September 17, 2025
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252- 7031, Option three, or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in 28 TAC §141.1(d). Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.