

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

General Information

Requester Name

Kenneth Steen, D.C.

Respondent Name

North East ISD

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-25-2951-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 55

DWC Date Received

July 18, 2025

Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
January 3, 2025	Designated Doctor Examination 99456-W5	\$1,261.00	\$1,261.00
January 3, 2025	99456-W6	\$664.00	\$664.00
	Total:	\$1,925.00	\$1,925.00

Requester's Position

Excerpt from Request for Reconsideration dated February 25, 2025: "Per Rule 133.240, the insurance carrier is required to take final action and send an EOB to the provider not later than the 45th day after receipt of the bill. If a review has already been performed, please consider this a request for a copy of the EOB."

Amount in Dispute: \$1,925.00

Respondent's Position

The Austin carrier representative for North East ISD is Christopher Ameel Attorney @ Law. The representative was notified of this medical fee dispute on July 22, 2025. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(1), if DWC does not receive the response within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then DWC may base its decision on the available information. As of today, no response has been received from the insurance carrier or its representative. We will base this decision on the information available.

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to [Texas Labor Code §413.031](#) and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. [28 Texas Administrative Code \(TAC\) §133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. [28 TAC §134.240](#) sets out the fee guidelines for examinations to determine maximum medical improvement and impairment rating.
3. [28 TAC §134.210](#) sets out the medical fee guideline for Workers' Compensation specific services.

Adjustment Reasons

Neither party submitted an explanation of benefits with reasons for the denial of payment for the disputed services.

Issues

1. What rules apply to the service in dispute?
2. According to the submitted documentation, what designated doctor services were provided on the date in dispute?
3. Is the requester entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

1. This medical fee dispute involves an examination by a designated doctor for the purpose of establishing: if maximum medical improvement (MMI) has been reached; what date MMI was reached if applicable; and to provide impairment ratings (IR) if MMI has been reached as well as to determine the injured employee's extent of compensable injuries (EOI).

On the disputed date of service, the requester billed a total amount of \$1,925.00 for designated doctor services billed under CPT codes 99456-W5 and 99456-W6. CPT code 99456 indicates the service of a maximum medical improvement (MMI) and/or impairment rating (IR) examination by a designated doctor.

DWC finds that 28 TAC §134.240, adopted to be effective June 1, 2024, applies to the reimbursement of the services in dispute. 28 TAC §134.240 (d), states in pertinent part,

"(2) (C) If the designated doctor determines MMI has been reached and an IR evaluation is performed, both the MMI evaluation and the IR evaluation portions of the examination must be billed and reimbursed in accordance with subsection (d) of this section.

(3) MMI. MMI evaluations will be reimbursed at \$449 adjusted per §134.210(b)(4), and the designated doctor must apply the additional modifier "W5."

(4) IR. For IR examinations, the designated doctor must bill, and the insurance carrier must reimburse the components of the IR evaluation. The designated doctor must apply the additional modifier "W5." Indicate the number of body areas rated in the unit's column of the billing form.

(A) For musculoskeletal body areas, the designated doctor may bill for a maximum of three body areas.

(i) Musculoskeletal body areas are:

(I) spine and pelvis; (musculoskeletal structures of torso)

(II) upper extremities and hands; and

(III) lower extremities (including feet).

(ii) For musculoskeletal body areas:

(I) the reimbursement for the first musculoskeletal body area is \$385 adjusted per §134.210(b)(4); and

(II) the reimbursement for each additional musculoskeletal body area is \$192 adjusted per §134.210(b)(4).

(B) For non-musculoskeletal body areas, the designated doctor must bill, and the insurance carrier must reimburse, for each non-musculoskeletal body area examined.

(i) Non-musculoskeletal body areas are defined as follows:

(I) body systems;

(II) body structures (including skin); and

(III) mental and behavioral disorders.

(ii) For a complete list of body system and body structure non-musculoskeletal body areas, refer to the appropriate AMA Guides.

(iii) The reimbursement for the assignment of an IR in a non-musculoskeletal body area is \$192 adjusted per §134.210(b)(4) ...

(5) Extent of injury. The reimbursement rate for determining the extent of the employee's compensable injury is \$642 adjusted per §134.210(b)(4), and the designated doctor must apply the additional modifier "W6'."

DWC finds that 28 TAC §134.210 applies to the annual fee adjustment of the disputed services, stating in pertinent part, "(b)(4) Fees established in §§134.235, 134.240, 134.250, and 134.260 of this title will be:

"(A) adjusted once by applying the Medicare Economic Index (MEI) percentage adjustment factor for the period 2009 - 2024.

(B) adjusted annually by applying the MEI percentage adjustment factor identified in §134.203(c)(2).

(C) rounded to whole dollars by dropping amounts under 50 cents and increasing amounts from 50 to 99 cents to the next dollar. For example, \$1.39 becomes \$1 and \$2.50 becomes \$3.

(D) effective on January 1 of each new calendar year.”

2. A review of the submitted documents finds that on the disputed date of service the medical record supports that the requester, a designated doctor, performed an evaluation of maximum medical improvement (MMI) as ordered by DWC. Per 28 TAC §134.240 (d), the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) in 2025 for this examination is \$465.00.

A review of the submitted medical record additionally finds that the requester provided an impairment rating (IR) of three body areas. The rule at 28 TAC §134.240 defines the fees for impairment ratings. The MAR for the evaluation of three body areas performed is \$796.00.

The medical record submitted supports that the designated doctor performed an examination to determine the extent of the injured employee’s compensable injuries as requested by DWC. The rule at 28 TAC §134.240 defines the fee for determination of extent of injury examinations. The MAR for extent of injury determination in 2025 is \$664.00.

A review of the medical bill submitted finds that the designated doctor services performed as described above were billed in accordance with 28 TAC §134.240.

3. The requester, Kenneth Steen, D.C., is seeking reimbursement in the total amount of \$1,925.00 for a designated doctor examination rendered on January 3, 2025.

DWC finds that in accordance with 28 TAC §134.240, the appropriate total amount of reimbursement for the disputed designated doctor examination rendered on January 3, 2025, is \$1,925.00. The insurance carrier reimbursed the disputed services in the amount of \$0.00.

DWC finds that the requester is entitled to reimbursement in the amount of \$1,925.00.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requester and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requester has established that reimbursement is due in the amount of \$1,925.00.

Order

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requester is entitled to reimbursement for the disputed services. It is ordered that North East ISD must remit to Kenneth Steen, D.C., \$1,925.00 plus applicable accrued interest within 30 days of receiving this order in accordance with 28 TAC §134.130.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

September 29, 2025
Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electronico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.