



Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

General Information

Requestor Name

Providence Sierra

Respondent Name

Texas Mutual Insurance Company

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-25-1914-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 54

Date Received

April 10, 2025

Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
April 4, 2024	Hospital Services	\$5,533.42	\$0.00

Requestor's Position

"The Hospital's records reflect the patient was injured in work related injury. The Hospital provided the medically necessary services on the above dates of service. The Hospital billed TEXAS MUTUAL, but the bill was underpaid and not paid/reimbursed appropriately. However, despite the Hospital's efforts and Request for Reconsiderations sent to TEXAS MUTUAL June 27, 2024 and March 17, 2025, TEXAS MUTUAL has not rendered proper payment."

Amount in Dispute: \$5,533.42

Respondent's Position

"One year from disputed date of service 04/04/2024 would have been 04/04/2025. The TDI/DWC date stamp lists the received date as 04/10/2025 an the requestor's DWC-60 packet, a date greater than one year. The requestor has waived its right to DWC MDR. Our position is that no payment is due."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Company

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code [\(TAC\) §133.305](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical disputes.
2. [28 TAC §133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.

Denial Reasons

The insurance carrier reduced or denied payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- CAC-P12 – Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.
- CAC-97 – The benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated.
- 217 – The value of this procedure is included in the value of another procedure performed on this date.
- 305 – The implant is included in this billing and is reimbursed at the higher percentage calculation.
- 370 – This hospital outpatient allowance was calculated according to the APC rate, plus a markup.
- 618 – The value of this procedure is packaged into the payment of other services performed on the same date of service.
- 767 – Paid per O/P FG at 200% implant not applicable or separate reimbursement (with cert) not requested per rule 134.403(G).

Issues

Has the requestor waived their right to medical fee dispute resolution?

Findings

The requestor seeks payment in the amount of \$5,533.42, for medical services provided on April 4, 2024.

28 TAC §133.307 (c) (1) states in the pertinent part, "Timeliness. A requestor must timely file the request with the division or waive the right to MFDR. The division will deem a request to be filed on the date the division receives the request. A decision by the division that a request was not timely filed is not a dismissal and may be appealed pursuant to subsection (g) of this section."

The service in question was performed on April 4, 2024. The medical fee dispute was received by the Division on April 10, 2024. This date is more than a year following the in-question date(s) of service.

28 TAC §133.307 (c) (1) (A) states, "A request for MFDR that does not involve issues identified in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph shall be filed no later than one year after the date(s) of service in dispute."

A review of the submitted documentation finds that the disputed service does not involve issues identified in 28 TAC §133.307 (c) (1) (B). The Division concludes that the requestor has failed to timely file this dispute with the Division; consequently, the requestor has waived the right to medical fee dispute resolution.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

The Division finds the requestor has not established that reimbursement is due.

Order

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, the Division has determined the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

_____	_____	April 29, 2025
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. The Division must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to the Division using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about the DWC Form-045M, call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electrónico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.