

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

General Information

Requestor Name

Andrew Brylowski, M.D.

Respondent Name

Hartford Accident & Indemnity Co.

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-25-0743-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 47

DWC Date Received

December 2, 2024

Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
September 19, 2024 – October 9, 2024	99456-WP	\$0.00	\$0.00
	99456-W6	\$0.00	\$0.00
	99199-51-59	\$0.00	\$0.00
	90792-51-59	\$2,781.91	\$0.00
	96132-51-59	\$3,420.59	\$0.00
	96133-51-59	\$2,795.73	\$0.00
Total		\$8,998.23	\$0.00

Requestor's Position

"90792-51-59: Please note that 2 (TWO) HCFA CMS 1500 invoices are attached in combined format for the correct billing of multiple CPT codes necessary for the COMPREHENSIVE FORENSIC INDEPENDENT MEDICAL EXAMINATION from a neuropsychiatric point of view.

"Please note that 2 Texas Administrative Code rules (TAC) apply:
TAC §127.10 - General procedures for Designated Doctor Examinations:

"(c) The designated doctor shall perform additional testing when necessary to resolve the issue in question. The designated doctor shall also refer an injured employee to other health care providers when the referral is necessary to resolve the issue in question and the designated

doctor is not qualified to fully resolve the issue in question. Any additional testing or referral required for the evaluation is not subject to preauthorization requirements nor shall those services be denied retrospectively based on medical necessity, extent of injury, or compensability in accordance with the Labor Code §408.027 and §413.014, Insurance Code Chapter 1305, or Chapters 10, 19, 133, or 134 of this title (relating to Workers' Compensation Health Care Networks, Agents' Licensing, General Medical Provisions, and Benefits--Guidelines for Medical Services, Charges, and Payments, respectively) but is subject to the requirements of §180.24 of this title (relating to Financial Disclosure).

AND TAC §41.104 also applies. (4) Billing by report--The billing procedure to be used by a health care provider when:

(A) no procedural definition and/or dollar value is established in the board's fee guidelines for the treatment or service rendered; or

(B) when the provider determines that the procedural definition and/or dollar value established in the fee guidelines does not adequately describe the treatment or service rendered. (See §42.145 of this title (relating to Billing).)

"Please note there is no procedural definition established in the fee (Medicare) guidelines for a
COMPREHENSIVE FORENSIC INDEPENDENT MEDICAL EXAMINATION

"Amount Due: \$6,216.32(see below)

96132 Amount Due: \$3,420.59

96133 Amount Due: \$2,795.73

"96132-51-59, 96133-51-59:

"Physical and neuro-behavioral examination along with additional testing that was medically necessary for this examination such as functional capacity examination, neuropsychiatric testing/measures, blood work, imaging studies, etc. and examination were accomplished along with a review of medical records that were available. I was asked to determine all or part of the following issues: 1. Impairment rating, 2. Maximum medical improvement date, 3. Ability of the employee to return to work, 4. Extent of the employee's compensable injury, 5. Whether the employee's disability is a direct result of the work injury, 6. Other similar issues. History and physical and neuropsychiatric diagnostic interviews as well as neuropsychiatric testing administration were accomplished.

"This process involved approximately 14 hours of staff and physician time. Neuropsychiatric testing administration and interpretation, report preparation, review of medical records, literature search, AMA guides 4th edition, MDGuidelines, ODG, DSM 5, and other specialty guideline search as necessary were accomplished on September 18, 2024, September 19, 2024, September 20, 2024, September 27, 2024, September 28, 2024, September 29, 2024, October 4, 2024, October 5, 2024, October 6, 2024, and October 9, 2024. This process involved approximately 18 hours of physician time. Total hours for evaluation, forensic measure ordering, interpretation, and integration, neuropsychiatric testing supervision, scoring, and interpretation, urine drug evaluation and interpretation, literature and guideline search and integration with report integration of this information in addition to the routine designated doctor issues was approximately 23 hours. In this case, much of the testing was not repeated because it was not forensically medically necessary to repeat it. Some of the testing was repeated. However, all the testing was used and reinterpreted in the context of current issues being addressed."

Total Amount in Dispute: \$8,998.23

Respondent's Position

"The bill was processed and paid per fee schedule/ DDE with a reduction as MUE: medically unlikely edits, which reduces improper payments for overbilling due to the maximum units of service (UOS) reported for a HCPCS/CPT code on the vast majority of appropriately reported claims by the same provider/supplier for the same beneficiary."

Response Submitted by: The Hartford

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to [Texas Labor Code \(TLC\) §413.031](#) and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. [28 Texas Administrative Code \(TAC\), Section 41](#) sets out the procedures for administration of workers' compensation claims with dates of injury prior to January 1, 1991.
2. [28 TAC §127.10, effective April 30, 2023, 48 TexReg 2123](#), sets out the procedures for designated doctor examinations.
3. [28 TAC §133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
4. [28 TAC §134.203](#) sets out the fee guidelines for professional medical services.
5. [28 TAC §134.250, effective July 7, 2016, 41 TexReg 4839](#), sets out the fee guidelines for examinations to determine maximum medical improvement with dates of service prior to June 1, 2024.

Denial Reasons

- 86 -SERVICE PERFORMED WAS DISTINCT OR INDEPENDENT FROM OTHER SERVICES PERFORMED ON THE SAME DAY.
- 97 -PAYMENT ADJUSTED BECAUSE THE BENEFIT FOR THIS SERVICE IS INCLUDED IN THE PAYMENT/ALLOWANCE FOR ANOTHER SERVICE/PROCEDURE THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN ADJUDICATED.
- 309 -THE CHARGE FOR THIS PROCEDURE EXCEEDS THE FEE SCHEDULE ALLOWANCE.
- P12 -WORKERS' COMPENSATION JURISDICTIONAL FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT.
- 3243 -THE BILLING OF THE PROCEDURE CODE HAS EXCEEDED THE NATIONAL CORRECT CODING INITIATIVE MEDICALLY UNLIKELY EDITS AMOUNT FOR THE AMOUNT OF TIMES

THIS PROCEDURE CAN BE BILLED ON A DATE OF SERVICE. SINCE THE ALLOWANCE FOR THE PROCEDURE IS TO BE DETERMINED BY REPORT, AN ALLOWANCE HAS NOT BEEN PAID.

- 3244 -THE BILLING OF THE PROCEDURE CODE HAS EXCEEDED THE NATIONAL CORRECT CODING INITIATIVE MEDICALLY UNLIKELY EDITS AMOUNT FOR THE NUMBER OF TIMES THIS PROCEDURE CAN BE BILLED ON A DATE OF SERVICE. AN ALLOWANCE HAS NOT BEEN PAID.
- 3247 -THE BILLING OF THE PROCEDURE CODE HAS EXCEEDED THE NATIONAL CORRECT CODING INITIATIVE MEDICALLY UNLIKELY EDITS AMOUNT FOR THE NUMBER OF TIMES THIS PROCEDURE CAN BE BILLED ON A DATE OF SERVICE. THE CORRECT USE OF A MODIFIER TO REPORT THE SAME CODE ON A SEPARATE LINE PERMITS AN ADDITIONAL UNIT OF SERVICE TO BE ALLOWED. SINCE THE MODIFIER HAS NOT BEEN USED CORRECTLY, AN ADDITIONAL UNIT CANNOT BE PAID.
- 16 - CLAIM/SERVICE LACKS INFORMATION OR HAS SUBMISSION/BILLING ERROR(S) WHICH IS NEEDED FOR ADJUDICATION.
- 1014 - The attached billing has been re-evaluated at the request of the provider. Based on this re-evaluation, we find our original review to be correct. Therefore, no additional allowance appears to be warranted.
- 2005 - NO ADDITIONAL REIMBURSEMENT ALLOWED AFTER REVIEW OF APPEAL/RECONSIDERATION.
- 193 - Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.
- W3 - Bill is a reconsideration or appeal.

Issues

1. What are the services considered in this review?
2. What are the applicable rules for reviewing the testing services in this dispute?
3. Is Andrew Brylowski, M.D., entitled to additional reimbursement for the procedure code 90792?
4. Is Dr. Brylowski entitled to additional reimbursement for procedure codes 96132, 96133?
5. What is the total reimbursement amount recommended for the services in dispute?

Findings

1. Dr. Brylowski submitted this dispute in accordance with 28 TAC §133.307 for the following procedure codes:
 - 99456-WP
 - 99456-W6
 - 99199-51-59

- 90792-51-59
- 96132-51-59
- 96133-51-59

He is seeking \$0.00 for procedure codes 99456-WP, 99456-W6, and 99199-51-59. Therefore, these procedures will not be considered in this dispute.

Dr. Brylowski is seeking \$8,998.23 for the following procedure codes:

- 90792-51-59
- 96132-51-59
- 96133-51-59

These procedures will be reviewed in this dispute.

2. The procedure codes in question are considered professional medical services. DWC will review these services for reimbursement in accordance with relevant rules.

Dr. Brylowski indicated that reimbursement should be evaluated based on rules found in "TAC §127.10" and "TAC §41.104."

While he referenced an older version of Chapter 127, Section 10, DWC finds that this rule in effect for the dates of service in question states in Subsection (c), in relevant part, "Additional testing and referrals. The designated doctor must perform additional testing when necessary to resolve the issue in question. The designated doctor must also refer an injured employee to other health care providers when the referral is necessary to resolve the issue in question, and the designated doctor is not qualified to fully resolve it.

- (1) Any additional testing or referrals required for the evaluation are not subject to preauthorization requirements.
- (2) Payment for additional testing or referrals that the designated doctor has determined are necessary under this subsection must not be denied prospectively or retrospectively, regardless of any potential disagreements about medical necessity, extent of injury, or compensability.
- (3) Any additional testing or referrals required for the evaluation are subject to the requirements of §180.24 of this title (relating to Financial Disclosure).
- (4) Any additional testing or referrals required for the evaluation of an injured employee under a certified workers' compensation network under Insurance Code Chapter 1305 or a political subdivision under Labor Code §504.053(b):
 - (A) are not required to use a provider in the same network as the injured employee; and
 - (B) are not subject to the network or out-of-network restrictions in Insurance Code §1305.101 (relating to Providing or Arranging for Health Care).

DWC reviewed the explanations of benefits submitted and found that the insurance carrier did not deny payment based on medical necessity, preauthorization requirements, extent of injury, compensability, or network status. Therefore, this rule is not applicable to the dispute in question.

Dr. Brylowski also referenced "TAC §41.104." He did not provide the title number for referenced rule TAC §41.104, therefore, DWC performed a search for this rule within Title 28 as it is the administrative authority for general and workers' compensation insurance. Section 104 was not found in Chapter 41 that was in effect on the date of service in question. However, the language quoted in Dr. Brylowski's position statement is found in 28 TAC §42.145. It is important to note that the Texas Administrative Code, Title 28, Chapters 41 through 69 are applicable only to claims with dates of injury prior to January 1, 1991. Therefore, they do not pertain to the claim that it is the subject of this dispute.

Dr. Brylowski further states that "there is no procedural definition established in the fee (Medicare) guidelines for a COMPREHENSIVE FORENSIC INDEPENDENT MEDICAL EXAMINATION." The documentation submitted to DWC fails to demonstrate how the services in question are substantively different from the defined services as billed. For this reason, DWC must review the services in question based on the fee guidelines that are applicable to those services.

Reimbursement policies for professional services are found in 28 TAC §134.203, which states, in relevant part: "(b) For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the following:

- (1) Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiatives (CCI) edits; modifiers; bonus payments for health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) and physician scarcity areas (PSAs); and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions in the rules."

Reimbursement fee guidelines for professional services are addressed in 28 TAC §134.203(c), which states in relevant part: "To determine the MAR for professional services, system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies with minimal modifications.

- (1) For service categories of Evaluation & Management, General Medicine, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Radiology, Pathology, Anesthesia, and Surgery when performed in an office setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$52.83 ...
- (2) The conversion factors listed in paragraph (1) of this subsection shall be the conversion factors for calendar year 2008. Subsequent year's conversion factors shall be determined by applying the annual percentage adjustment of the Medicare Economic Index (MEI) to the previous year's conversion factors, and shall be effective January 1st of the new calendar year ..."

3. Dr. Brylowski is seeking additional reimbursement for procedure code 90792, which is defined as "Psychiatric diagnostic evaluation with medical services: An assessment by a psychiatrist of a person's mental health status conducted through an interview, exam, or nonverbal methods. It

includes additional medical services such as pharmacy or other diagnostic evaluation ... A psychiatric diagnostic evaluation is performed, which includes the assessment of the patient's psychosocial history, current mental status, review, and ordering of diagnostic studies followed by appropriate treatment recommendations. In 90792, additional medical services such as physical examination and prescription of pharmaceuticals were provided in addition to the diagnostic evaluation. Interviews and communication with family members or other sources are included in these codes."

DWC finds that the submitted documentation supports the performance of this service as defined. The requestor is therefore entitled to reimbursement for CPT code 90792.

4. Dr. Brylowski is seeking reimbursement for procedure code 96132, which is defined as "Neuropsychological testing evaluation services by physician or other qualified health care professional, including integration of patient data, interpretation of standardized test results and clinical data, clinical decision making, treatment planning and report, and interactive feedback to the patient, family member(s) or caregiver(s), when performed; first hour. The physician or other qualified health care professional evaluates and interprets the results of psychological or neuropsychological testing ... Neuropsychological testing consists of a series of tests in thinking, reasoning, judgment, and memory to evaluate the patient's neurocognitive abilities. Report 96132 for the first hour of evaluation/ interpretation and 96133 for each additional hour thereafter. Codes within this range describe the evaluation component, including combining data from different sources, interpreting test results and clinical data, decision-making, and providing a plan of treatment and report, as well as providing interactive feedback with the patient and family members or caregivers. These codes apply to each hour of evaluation and must include face-to-face time with the patient, as well as the time spent integrating and interpreting data; however, the actual test administration and scoring services are not reported by these codes." Disputed procedure code 96133 is a timed add-on code for procedure code 96132.

Medicare's CCI manual Chapter XI, Section M.2 states, "The psychiatric diagnostic interview examination (CPT codes 90791, 90792), psychological/neuropsychological testing (CPT codes 96136-96146), and psychological/ neuropsychological evaluation services (CPT codes 96130-96133) must be distinct services if reported on the same date of service. CPT Professional instructions permit physicians to integrate other sources of clinical data into the report that is generated for CPT codes 96130-96133. Since the procedures described by CPT codes 96130-96139 are timed procedures, providers/suppliers shall not report time for duplicating information (collection or interpretation) included in the psychiatric diagnostic interview examination and/or psychological/neuropsychological evaluation services or test administration and scoring."

A review of the documentation provided supports that the services described above for procedure codes 96132 were performed by the requestor for tests administered, scored, evaluated, and interpreted within the billed dates of service. DWC will review this code for reimbursement.

The report does not list the start and end time to support the number of hours billed for add-on timed procedure code 96133; therefore, Dr. Brylowski is not entitled to reimbursement for

these codes as defined.

5. To determine the MAR, the following formula is used:
(DWC Conversion Factor/Medicare Conversion Factor) x Medicare Participating Amount.
- The DWC conversion factor for 2024 is 67.81.
 - The Medicare conversion factor for the disputed dates of service is 33.2875.
 - Per the submitted medical bills, the service was rendered in zip code 75234 which is in Medicare locality 11, "Dallas."

The 2024 Medicare participating amount for CPT code 90792 in locality 11 is \$194.91. Using the formula above, the MAR is \$397.05. Dr. Brylowski billed 8 units for this service, however provided no evidence that multiple assessments as defined were performed. The total MAR for procedure code 90792 at one unit is \$397.05. The insurance carrier paid \$397.05 for procedure code 90792 on the disputed date of service. Therefore, no additional reimbursement is recommended.

The Medicare participating amount for CPT code 96132 is \$129.01. Using the formula above, the MAR is \$262.81. Dr. Brylowski billed for 14 units. No evidence was provided to support the billed units within the dates of service in dispute. The total MAR for procedure code 96132 at one unit is \$262.81. The insurance carrier paid \$262.81. Therefore, no additional reimbursement is recommended.

DWC finds that the total allowed amount for the services in question is \$659.86. Per documentation submitted, the insurance carrier paid \$659.86. Additional reimbursement is not recommended.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due.

Order

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to reimbursement in the amount of \$0.00 for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

January 31, 2025

Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option three or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in [28 TAC §141.1 \(d\)](#).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción tres o correo electronico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.