



Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

General Information

Requestor Name

Proximarx

Respondent Name

Utica Lloyds of Texas

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-25-0691-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 47

DWC Date Received

November 20, 2024

Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
January 2, 2024	72888-0012-05	\$106.72	\$0.00
January 2, 2024	29300-0124-10	\$247.62	\$0.00
Total		\$354.34	\$0.00

Requestor's Position

"A third Request for Reconsideration was submitted and received by the carrier on **08/29/2024 VIA FAX CONFIRMATION**. I have attached proof of submission for the first correspondence."

Amount in Dispute: \$354.34

Respondent's Position

The Austin carrier representative for Utica Lloyds of Texas is Burns Anderson Jury & Brenner LP. The representative was notified of this medical fee dispute on November 26, 2024.

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(1), if the DWC does not receive the response within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the DWC may base its decision on the available information.

As of today, no response has been received from the insurance carrier or its representative. We will base this decision on the information available.

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to [Texas Labor Code \(TLC\) §413.031](#) and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. [28 Texas Administrative Code TAC §133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. [28 TAC §133.20](#) sets out requirements of medical bill submission.
3. [28 TAC §102.4](#) details the general rules for Non-Division Communication.
4. [Texas Labor Code 408.0272](#) sets out the workers compensation timely billing and exceptions guidelines.

Denial Reasons

The insurance carrier denied the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes.

- 29 – The time limit for filing has expired.

Issues

1. Did the requestor support timely submission of medical claim?

Findings

1. The requestor is seeking reimbursement of the oral medications Cyclobenzaprine and Meloxicam. The insurance carrier denied the claim stating the bill was not received within 95 days of the date of service.

DWC Rule 28 TAC §102.4 (h) Unless the great weight of evidence indicates otherwise, written communications will be deemed to have been sent on:

- (1) the date received if sent by fax, personal delivery, or electronic transmission; or
- (2) the date postmarked if sent by mail through United States Postal Service regular mail, or, if the postmark date is unavailable, the later of the signature date on the written communication or the date it was received minus five days. If the date received minus five days is a Sunday or legal holiday, the date deemed sent must be the next previous day that is not a Sunday or legal holiday.

DWC Rule 28 TAC §133.20 (b) states in pertinent part,

(b) Except as provided in Labor Code §408.0272(b), (c) or (d), a health care provider shall not submit a medical bill later than the 95th day after the date the services are provided.

Texas Labor Code 408.0272. (b) states in pertinent part,

(b) Notwithstanding Section 408.0272, a health care provider who fails to timely submit a claim for payment to the insurance carrier under Section 408.0272(a) does not forfeit the provider's right to reimbursement for that claim for payment solely for failure to submit a timely claim if:

(1) the provider submits proof satisfactory to the commissioner that the provider, within the period prescribed by Section 408.027(a), erroneously filed for reimbursement with:

(A) an insurer that issues a policy of group accident and health insurance under which the injured employee is a covered insured;

(B) a health maintenance organization that issues an evidence of coverage under which the injured employee is a covered enrollee; or

(C) a workers' compensation insurance carrier other than the insurance carrier liable for the payment of benefits under this title;

(2) the commissioner determines that the failure resulted from a catastrophic event that substantially interfered with the normal business operations of the provider.

Review of the submitted documentation found the explanation of benefits indicates the claim was received on September 6, 2024.

The requestor sent the following in support of timely submission.

- Faxed transmission to 888-538-2018 showing sent successfully on January 22, 2024
- Faxed transmission to 888-538-2018 showing sent successfully on April 15, 2024
- Faxed transmission to 833-832-1549 showing sent successfully on August 29, 2024.

Of the three faxed attempts shown above only the one sent on August 29, 2024 (833-832-1549) is a fax number listed on the explanation of benefits for Utica Lloyds of Texas.

No information was found within the submitted documentation or information known to the Division that supports the other fax number was for the correct workers' compensation carrier.

DWC finds the greater weight of evidence supports the claim was sent on August 29, 2024 for the January 2, 2024 date of service. This date is past the 95-day timely filing deadline. The insurance carrier's denial is supported.

Additionally, insufficient evidence was found to support an exception described above. No payment is recommended.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requester has not established that additional reimbursement is due.

Order

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

March 7, 2025
Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in [28 TAC §141.1 \(d\)](#)

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electronico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.