



Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

General Information

Requestor Name

Andrew Brylowski, M.D.

Respondent Name

AIU Insurance Co.

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-25-0117-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 19

DWC Date Received

September 16, 2024

Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
January 24, 2024 – February 17, 2024	Required Medical Examination 99456-W5-WP	\$150.00	\$150.00
	99082-51-59	\$0.00	\$0.00
	99199-51-59	\$0.00	\$0.00
	90792-51-59	\$0.00	\$0.00
	96116-51-59	\$191.41	\$0.00
	96121-51-59	\$2,480.64	\$0.00
	96132-51-59	\$3,102.48	\$0.00
	96136-51-59	\$4,834.32	\$0.00
	96137-51-59	\$1,629.18	\$0.00
Total		\$12,473.30	\$150.00

Requestor's Position

"99456-W5-WP: TAC §134.250(4)(C)(iii) states, 'If the examining doctor performs the MMI examination and the IR testing of the musculoskeletal body area(s), the examining doctor shall bill using the appropriate MMI CPT code with modifier 'WP.' Reimbursement shall be 100 percent of the total MAR.'

"Upper Extremity – 1 unit=\$350

Spine - 1 unit=\$150

Skin – 1 unit=\$150

ENT – 1 unit=\$150

Mental and Behavioral – 2 units=\$300

Pain – 1 unit=\$150

"Amount Due: \$150

"90116 51-59, 96121 51-59, 96132 51-59, 96133 51-59, 96136 51-59, 96137 51-59: Please note that 2 (TWO) HCFA CMS 1500 invoices are attached in combined format for the correct billing of multiple CPT codes necessary for the COMPREHENSIVE FORENSIC INDEPENDENT MEDICAL EXAMINATION from a neuropsychiatric point of view.

"Please note that 2 Texas Administrative Code rules (TAC) apply:
TAC §127.10 - General procedures for Designated Doctor Examinations:

"(c) The designated doctor shall perform additional testing when necessary to resolve the issue in question. The designated doctor shall also refer an injured employee to other health care providers when the referral is necessary to resolve the issue in question and the designated doctor is not qualified to fully resolve the issue in question. Any additional testing or referral required for the evaluation is not subject to preauthorization requirements nor shall those services be denied retrospectively based on medical necessity, extent of injury, or compensability in accordance with the Labor Code §408.027 and §413.014, Insurance Code Chapter 1305, or Chapters 10, 19, 133, or 134 of this title (relating to Workers' Compensation Health Care Networks, Agents' Licensing, General Medical Provisions, and Benefits--Guidelines for Medical Services, Charges, and Payments, respectively) but is subject to the requirements of §180.24 of this title (relating to Financial Disclosure).

AND TAC §41.104 also applies. (4) Billing by report--The billing procedure to be used by a health care provider when:

(A) no procedural definition and/or dollar value is established in the board's fee guidelines for the treatment or service rendered; or

(B) when the provider determines that the procedural definition and/or dollar value established in the fee guidelines does not adequately describe the treatment or service rendered. (See §42.145 of this title (relating to Billing.)

"Please note there is no procedural definition established in the fee (Medicare) guidelines for a COMPREHENSIVE FORENSIC INDEPENDENT MEDICAL EXAMINATION

"96116 Amount Due: \$191.41
96132 Amount Due: \$3,102.48
96136 Amount Due: \$85.27

96121 Amount Due: \$2,480.64
961333 Amount Due: \$4,834.32
96137 Amount Due: \$1,629.18

"Physical and neuro-behavioral examination along with diagnostic interview and additional testing that was forensically medically necessary for this examination such as neuropsychiatric testing and measures, blood work, imaging studies, etc. A history and diagnostic interview along with a review of medical records and collateral information that was available was done ...

"This process involved approximately 14 hours of staff and physician time. Neuropsychiatric testing interpretation, report preparation, as well as a review of medical records were accomplished on January 3, 2024, January 23, 2024, January 24, 2024, January 25, 2024, January 26, 2024, January 31, 2024, February 1, 2024, February 8, 2024, February 9, 2024, February 11,

2024, February 14, 2024, February 15, 2024, February 16, 2024, and February 17, 2024. This process involved approximately 22 hours of physician time. Total hours of physician time for evaluation, testing administration, testing supervision, testing scoring, testing interpretation, medical record integration, collateral information integration, literature review, urine drug testing and interpretation and integration of this information into report format was approximately 27 hours.”

Amount in Dispute: \$12,473.30

Respondent's Position

“The carrier’s position is that the provider is not entitled to any more monies based upon the medical fee guidelines.”

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to [Texas Labor Code \(TLC\) §413.031](#) and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers’ Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. [28 Texas Administrative Code \(TAC\), Section 41](#) sets out the procedures for administration of workers’ compensation claims with dates of injury prior to January 1, 1991.
2. [28 TAC §127.10, effective April 30, 2023, 48 TexReg 2123](#), sets out the procedures for designated doctor examinations.
3. [28 TAC §133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
4. [28 TAC §134.203](#) sets out the fee guidelines for professional medical services.
5. [28 TAC §134.250, effective July 7, 2016, 41 TexReg 4839](#), sets out the fee guidelines for examinations to determine maximum medical improvement with dates of service prior to June 1, 2024.

Denial Reasons

The insurance carrier reduced or denied the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- 292 – This procedure code is only reimbursed when billed with the appropriate initial base code.
- 309 – The charge for this procedure exceeds the fee schedule allowance.
- 5141 – Bill has been reviewed by a nurse or under the direction of a nurse.
- 5346 – Please specify time spent on billed procedure for further review.

- 906 – In accordance with clinical based coding edits (National Correct Coding Initiative/Outpatient Code Editor), component code of comprehensive medicine, evaluation and management services procedure (90000-99999) has been disallowed.
- 943 – Documentation does not support billed charge. No recommendation of payment can be made.
- 107 – Claim/service denied because the related or qualifying claim/service was not previously paid or identified on this claim.
- 97 – Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated.
- P12 – Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.
- 1014 – The attached billing has been re-evaluated at the request of the provider. Based on this re-evaluation, we find our original review to be correct. Therefore, no additional allowance appears to be warranted.
- 2005 – No additional reimbursement allowed after review of appeal/reconsideration.
- 193 – Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.
- 250 – The attachment/other documentation that was received was the incorrect attachment/document. The expected attachment/document is still missing.
- 1001 – Based on the corrected billing and/or additional information/documentation now submitted by the provider, we are recommending further payment to be made for the above noted procedure code.
- 2008 – Additional payment made on appeal/reconsideration
- N600 – Adjusted based on the applicable fee schedule for the region in which the service was rendered.
- 247 – A Payment or denial has already been recommended for this service.
- 18 – Exact duplicate claim/service.
- N111 – No appeal right except duplicate claim/service issue. This service was included in a claim that has been previously billed and adjudicated.

Issues

1. What are the services considered in this review?
2. Is Andrew Brylowski, M.D. entitled to additional reimbursement for procedure code 99456-W5-WP?
3. What are the applicable rules for review of the testing services in this dispute?
4. Is Dr. Brylowski entitled to reimbursement for procedure codes 96116 and 96121?
5. Is Dr. Brylowski entitled to reimbursement for procedure codes 96132, 96133, 96136, and 96137?

Findings

1. Dr. Brylowski submitted this dispute in accordance with 28 TAC §133.307 for the following procedure codes:

- 99456
- 99082
- 99199
- 90792
- 96116
- 96121
- 96132
- 96133
- 96136
- 96137

He is seeking \$0.00 for procedure codes 99082, 99199, and 90792. Therefore, these procedures will not be considered in this dispute.

Dr. Brylowski is seeking \$12,473.30 for the remaining procedure codes. These procedures will be reviewed in this dispute.

2. Procedure code 99456 performed on January 24, 2024, is a division-specific service subject to the fee guidelines found in 28 TAC §134.250, effective July 7, 2016, 41 TexReg 4839.

The submitted documentation indicates that Dr. Brylowski performed an evaluation of maximum medical improvement as ordered by DWC. 28 TAC §134.250(3)(C) states that the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) for this examination is \$350.00.

Review of the submitted documentation finds that Dr. Brylowski provided impairment rating calculations for the (redacted) with range of motion testing; the (redacted) conditions.

28 TAC §134.250(4)(C)(ii) defines the fees for the calculation of an impairment rating for musculoskeletal body areas. The MAR for the evaluation of the first musculoskeletal body area performed with range of motion is \$300.00.

28 TAC §134.250(4)(D) defines the fees for the calculation of an impairment rating for non-musculoskeletal body areas. The MAR for the assignment of impairment rating for non-musculoskeletal body areas is \$150.00 each.

Examination	AMA Chapter	§134.250 Category	Reimbursement Amount
Maximum Medical Improvement			\$350.00
(redacted)	Musculoskeletal System redacted	Upper Extremities	\$300.00
(redacted)		redacted	\$150.00
(redacted)	redacted	redacted	\$150.00
(redacted)	redacted	Body Structures	\$150.00
IR: Ear/Nose/Throat Conditions	Ear, Nose, Throat, Related	Body Structures	\$150.00
Total MMI			\$350.00
Total IR			\$900.00
Total Exam			\$1,250.00

The total MAR for these services is \$1,250.00. Per explanations of benefits February 29, 2024, and May 1, 2024, the insurance carrier paid \$1,100.00. An additional \$150.00 is recommended.

3. The procedure codes for the testing services in question are considered professional medical services. DWC will review these services for reimbursement in accordance with relevant rules.

Dr. Brylowski indicated that reimbursement should be evaluated based on rules found in "TAC §127.10" and "TAC §41.104."

While he referenced an older version of Chapter 127, Section 10, DWC finds that this rule in effect for the dates of service in question states in Subsection (c), in relevant part, "Additional testing and referrals. The designated doctor must perform additional testing when necessary to resolve the issue in question. The designated doctor must also refer an injured employee to other health care providers when the referral is necessary to resolve the issue in question, and the designated doctor is not qualified to fully resolve it.

- (1) Any additional testing or referrals required for the evaluation are not subject to preauthorization requirements.
- (2) Payment for additional testing or referrals that the designated doctor has determined are necessary under this subsection must not be denied prospectively or retrospectively, regardless of any potential disagreements about medical necessity, extent of injury, or compensability.
- (3) Any additional testing or referrals required for the evaluation are subject to the requirements of §180.24 of this title (relating to Financial Disclosure).
- (4) Any additional testing or referrals required for the evaluation of an injured employee under a certified workers' compensation network under Insurance Code Chapter 1305 or a political subdivision under Labor Code §504.053(b):
 - (A) are not required to use a provider in the same network as the injured employee; and
 - (B) are not subject to the network or out-of-network restrictions in Insurance Code §1305.101 (relating to Providing or Arranging for Health Care).

DWC reviewed the explanations of benefits submitted and found that the insurance carrier did not deny payment based on medical necessity, preauthorization requirements, extent of injury, compensability, or network status. Therefore, this rule is not applicable to the dispute in question.

Dr. Brylowski also referenced "TAC §41.104." He did not provide the title number for referenced rule TAC §41.104, therefore, DWC performed a search for this rule within Title 28 as it is the administrative authority for general and workers' compensation insurance. Section 104 was not found in Chapter 41 that was in effect on the date of service in question. However, the language quoted in Dr. Brylowski's position statement is found in 28 TAC §42.145. It is important to note that the Texas Administrative Code, Title 28, Chapters 41 through 69 are applicable only to claims with dates of injury prior to January 1, 1991. Therefore, they do not pertain to the claim that is the subject of this dispute.

Dr. Brylowski further states that "there is no procedural definition established in the fee (Medicare) guidelines for a COMPREHENSIVE FORENSIC INDEPENDENT MEDICAL EXAMINATION." The documentation submitted to DWC fails to demonstrate how the services

in question are substantively different from the defined services as billed. For this reason, DWC must review the services in question based on the fee guidelines that are applicable to those services.

Reimbursement policies for professional services are found in 28 TAC §134.203, which states, in relevant part: "(b) For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas workers' compensation system participants shall apply the following:

- (1) Medicare payment policies, including its coding; billing; correct coding initiatives (CCI) edits; modifiers; bonus payments for health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) and physician scarcity areas (PSAs); and other payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions in the rules."

Reimbursement fee guidelines for professional services are addressed in 28 TAC §134.203(c), which states in relevant part: "To determine the MAR for professional services, system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies with minimal modifications.

- (1) For service categories of Evaluation & Management, General Medicine, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Radiology, Pathology, Anesthesia, and Surgery when performed in an office setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$52.83 ...
- (2) The conversion factors listed in paragraph (1) of this subsection shall be the conversion factors for calendar year 2008. Subsequent year's conversion factors shall be determined by applying the annual percentage adjustment of the Medicare Economic Index (MEI) to the previous year's conversion factors, and shall be effective January 1st of the new calendar year ..."

4. Dr. Brylowski is seeking reimbursement for procedure code 96116 which is defined as "Neurobehavioral status exam (clinical assessment of thinking, reasoning, and judgment, [e.g., acquired knowledge, attention, language, memory, planning, and problem solving, and visual spatial abilities]), by physician or other qualified health care professional, both face-to-face time with the patient and time interpreting test results and preparing the report; first hour. Behavioral exam with interpretation and report. Usually involves clinical assessment of skills in acquired knowledge, attention, memory, visual spatial abilities, language, or planning. The physician or psychologist evaluates aspects of thinking, reasoning, and judgment to evaluate a patient's neurocognitive abilities. These codes apply to each hour of examination time and must include face-to-face time with the patient and time spent interpreting test results and preparing a report. Report 96116 for the initial hour and 96121 for each additional hour."

Dr. Brylowski billed one unit of procedure code 96116 with appended modifiers 51 and 59. He also billed 12 units of timed add-on code 96121 with modifiers 51 and 59.

[Medicare's CCI manual Chapter XI](#), Section M.1 states, "Neurobehavioral status exam (CPT codes 96116 and 96121) shall not be reported when a mini-mental status examination is performed. CPT codes 96116 and 96121 shall not be reported with psychiatric diagnostic examinations (CPT codes 90791 or 90792). CPT codes 96116 and 96121 may be reported with

other psychiatric services or E&M services only if a complete neurobehavioral status exam is performed. If a mini-mental status examination is performed by a physician, it is included in the E&M service.”

DWC reviewed Medicare’s CCI edits for this procedure code and found that an edit exists between procedure code 90792 and 96116, with procedure code 90792 as the primary code. No modifier is allowed to override this edit. Therefore, reimbursement cannot be recommended for CPT code 96116. Because disputed timed procedure code 96121 is an add-on code for timed procedure code 96116, no reimbursement can be recommended for CPT code 96121.

5. Dr. Brylowski is seeking reimbursement for procedure code 96132, which is defined as “Neuropsychological testing evaluation services by physician or other qualified health care professional, including integration of patient data, interpretation of standardized test results and clinical data, clinical decision making, treatment planning and report, and interactive feedback to the patient, family member(s) or caregiver(s), when performed; first hour. The physician or other qualified health care professional evaluates and interprets the results of psychological or neuropsychological testing ... Neuropsychological testing consists of a series of tests in thinking, reasoning, judgment, and memory to evaluate the patient's neurocognitive abilities. Report 96132 for the first hour of evaluation/ interpretation and 96133 for each additional hour thereafter. Codes within this range describe the evaluation component, including combining data from different sources, interpreting test results and clinical data, decision-making, and providing a plan of treatment and report, as well as providing interactive feedback with the patient and family members or caregivers. These codes apply to each hour of evaluation and must include face-to-face time with the patient, as well as the time spent integrating and interpreting data; however, the actual test administration and scoring services are not reported by these codes.” Disputed procedure code 96133 is a timed add-on code for procedure code 96132.

Dr. Brylowski is also seeking reimbursement for procedure code 96136, which is defined as, “Psychological or neuropsychological test administration and scoring by physician or other qualified health care professional, two or more tests, any method; first 30 minutes. A physician, other qualified health care professional, or technician administers and scores two or more psychological or neuropsychological tests by any method ... neuropsychological testing consists of a series of tests in thinking, reasoning, judgment, and memory to evaluate the patient's neurocognitive abilities. Report 96136 for the initial 30 minutes of time by a physician or other qualified health care professional and 96137 for each additional 30 minutes.” Disputed procedure code 96137 is a timed add-on code for procedure code 96136.

Medicare’s CCI manual Chapter XI, Section M.2 states, “The psychiatric diagnostic interview examination (CPT codes 90791, 90792), psychological/neuropsychological testing (CPT codes 96136-96146), and psychological/ neuropsychological evaluation services (CPT codes 96130-96133) must be distinct services if reported on the same date of service. CPT Professional instructions permit physicians to integrate other sources of clinical data into the report that is generated for CPT codes 96130-96133. Since the procedures described by CPT codes 96130-96139 are timed procedures, providers/suppliers shall not report time for duplicating

information (collection or interpretation) included in the psychiatric diagnostic interview examination and/or psychological/neuropsychological evaluation services or test administration and scoring.”

A review of the documentation provided does not support that the services defined above were performed within the billed dates of service.

The requestor has failed to demonstrate its reasoning for why this disputed fee should be paid; how the relevant Labor Code and DWC rules, including fee guidelines, impact the disputed fee issues; and how the submitted documentation supports the request for the disputed fee issue in accordance with 28 TAC §133.307(c)(2)(N). No additional reimbursement is recommended for this service.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requestor has established that additional reimbursement of \$150.00 is due.

Order

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. It is ordered that AIU Insurance Co. must remit to Andrew Brylowski, M.D. \$150.00 plus applicable accrued interest within 30 days of receiving this order in accordance with 28 TAC §134.130.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

November 8, 2024

Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option three or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in [28 TAC §141.1 \(d\)](#).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción tres o correo electrónico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.