



## Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision

### General Information

**Requestor Name**

ProximaRX

**Respondent Name**

Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County

**MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-24-2839-01

**Carrier's Austin Representative**

Box Number 19

**DWC Date Received**

August 23, 2024

### Summary of Findings

| Dates of Service  | Disputed Services | Amount in Dispute | Amount Due      |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| February 12, 2024 | 53746-0109-05     | \$78.25           | \$29.93         |
| February 12, 2024 | 00781-2868-10     | \$190.96          | \$9.40          |
| February 12, 2024 | 29300-0124-10     | \$152.56          | \$122.83        |
|                   |                   | <b>\$421.77</b>   | <b>\$162.16</b> |

### Requestor's Position

"The carrier denied the original bill as well as the reconsideration based on **(BASED ON FINDINGS OF A REVIEW ORGANIZATION)**. ProximaRX did not receive any additional denial codes for the rejection of this bill from the carrier."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$421.77

### Respondent's Position

The Austin carrier representative for Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County is Flahive, Ogden & Latson. The representative was notified of this medical fee dispute on September 4,

2024.

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(1), if the DWC does not receive the response within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the DWC may base its decision on the available information.

As of today, no response has been received from the insurance carrier or its representative. We will base this decision on the information available.

**Response submitted by:** n/a

## **Findings and Decision**

### Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to [Texas Labor Code §413.031](#) and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

### Statutes and Rules

1. [28 TAC §133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. [28 TAC §133.240](#) sets out the requirements adverse determination notices.
3. [Texas Labor Code §19.2010](#) sets out the utilization review.
4. [28 TAC §134.503](#) sets out the fee guidelines for pharmacy services.

### Denial Reasons

- 193 – Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.
- 216 – Based on the findings of a review organization.
- W3 – In accordance with TDI-DWC Rule 134.804, this bill has been identified as a request for reconsideration or appeal.

### Issues

1. Is the insurance carrier's denial reason(s) supported?
2. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

### **Findings**

1. DWC Rule 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 (q) states, in relevant part, "When denying payment due to an adverse determination under this section, the insurance carrier shall comply with the requirements of §19.2009 of this title ... Additionally, in any instance where the insurance carrier is questioning the medical necessity or appropriateness of the health care services, the insurance carrier shall comply with the requirements of §19.2010 of this title ..., including the requirement that prior to issuance of an adverse determination the insurance

carrier shall afford the health care provider a reasonable opportunity to discuss the billed health care with a doctor ..." Submitted documentation does not support that the insurance carrier followed the appropriate procedures for a retrospective review denial of the disputed services outlined in §19.2003 (b)(31) or §133.240 (q). Therefore, the insurance carrier did not appropriately raise medical necessity for this dispute and this denial reason will not be considered in this review. The requestor is therefore entitled to reimbursement for the medications in dispute.

2.

DWC Rule 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 (c)(1)(A)(B) states in pertinent part (c) The insurance carrier shall reimburse the health care provider or pharmacy processing agent for prescription drugs the lesser of:

(1) the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed:

(A) Generic drugs:  $((AWP \text{ per unit}) \times (\text{number of units}) \times 1.25) + \$4.00$  dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;

(B) Brand name drugs:  $((AWP \text{ per unit}) \times (\text{number of units}) \times 1.09) + \$4.00$  dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;

| Drug             | NDC         | Generic(G) /Brand(B) | Price /Unit | Units Billed | AWP Formula | Billed Amt | Lesser of AWP and Billed |
|------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Hydrocodone-Apap | 53746010905 | G                    | 0.69        | 30           | \$29.93     | \$78.25    | \$29.93                  |
| Omeprazole DR    | 00781286810 | G                    | 0.14        | 30           | \$9.40      | \$190.96   | \$9.40                   |
| Meloxicam        | 29300012410 | G                    | \$3.168     | 30           | \$122.83    | \$152.56   | \$122.83                 |

The total reimbursement is \$162.16, this amount is recommended.

### Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requester has established that additional reimbursement is due.

### **Order**

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to reimbursement for the disputed services. It is ordered that Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County must remit to ProximaRX \$162.16 plus applicable accrued interest within 30 days of receiving this order in accordance with 28 TAC §134.130.

### **Authorized Signature**

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

November 27, 2024

Date

## Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at [www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html](http://www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html). DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email [CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov](mailto:CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov).

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in [28 TAC §141.1\(d\)](#).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electrónico [CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov](mailto:CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov).