



MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

General Information

Requestor Name

Steven Huneycutt, D.C.

Respondent Name

Standard Fire Insurance Co.

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-24-1855-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 5

DWC Date Received

April 22, 2024

Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
October 12, 2023	Designated Doctor Examination 99456-W5-WP	\$800.00	\$100.00
Total		\$800.00	\$100.00

Requestor's Position

"CARRIER IS REQUIRED TO PAY DESIGNATED DOCTOR EXAMS... THE CURRENT RULES ALLOW REIMBURSEMENT."

Amount in Dispute: \$800.00

Respondent's Position

"The Carrier has reviewed the documentation submitted and determined that the medical documentation supports reimbursement is due. Reimbursement is being issued in accordance with the Texas Workers' Compensation Act... With the reimbursement being issued, the Carrier contends the Provider is not entitled to additional reimbursement."

Response Submitted By: Travelers

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to [Texas Labor Code §413.031](#) and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. [28 Texas Administrative Code \(TAC\) §133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. [28 TAC §134.250](#) sets out the fee guidelines for examinations to determine maximum medical improvement and impairment rating.

Adjustment Reasons

- W3 - BILL IS A RECONSIDERATION OR APPEAL.
- 9102 – ADDITIONAL PAYMENT MADE BASED ON FURTHER REVIEW OF STATE GUIDELINES AFTER RECEIVING FEE DISPUTE; DECISION NOT YET RECEIVED.
- 2008 – ADDITIONAL PAYMENT MADE ON APPEAL/RECONSIDERATION.

Issues

1. Has the insurance carrier reimbursed the requestor for the designated doctor examination services in dispute, as of the date of this review?
2. What rules apply to the service in dispute?
3. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

1. The requestor, a designated doctor, submitted a medical fee dispute resolution (MFDR) request for reimbursement of a designated doctor examination rendered on October 12, 2023. DWC received the request for MFDR on April 22, 2024.

A review of the respondent's submitted documents finds an explanation of benefits (EOB) dated May 5, 2024, allowing reimbursement for the disputed services in the amount of \$700.00 out of the \$800.00 that was charged for the designated doctor examination.

DWC finds that the services in dispute have been reimbursed in the amount of \$700.00 as of the date of this review.

2. This medical fee dispute involves an examination by a designated doctor for the purpose of establishing: if maximum medical improvement (MMI) has been reached; what date MMI was reached if applicable; and to provide impairment ratings (IR) if MMI has been reached.

On the disputed date of service, the requestor billed \$800.00 for CPT code 99456-W5-WP. CPT code 99456 indicates the service of a maximum medical improvement (MMI) and/or impairment rating (IR) examination by a doctor other than the treating doctor. Modifier W5 indicates the examination was performed by a designated doctor. Modifier WP indicates that the same examining doctor performed the MMI examination and the IR testing of the musculoskeletal body area(s), thus reimbursement shall be 100 percent of the total maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR).

DWC finds that 28 TAC §134.250 applies to the reimbursement of the service in dispute. 28 TAC §134.250, which sets out the fee guidelines for maximum medical improvement examinations and impairment ratings, states in pertinent part, "(3) The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an MMI evaluation... (C) An examining doctor, other than the treating doctor, shall bill using CPT code 99456. Reimbursement shall be \$350. (4) The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an IR evaluation. (A) The health care provider shall include billing components of the IR evaluation with the applicable MMI evaluation CPT code. The number of body areas rated shall be indicated in the unit's column of the billing form... (C) For musculoskeletal body areas, the examining doctor may bill for a maximum of three body areas.

(i) Musculoskeletal body areas are defined as follows:

- (I) spine and pelvis;
- (II) upper extremities and hands; and
- (III) lower extremities (including feet).

(ii) The maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) for musculoskeletal body areas shall be as follows:

- (I) \$150 for each body area if the diagnosis related estimates (DRE) method found in the AMA Guides fourth edition is used.
- (II) If full physical evaluation, with range of motion, is performed: (-a-) \$300 for the first musculoskeletal body area; and (-b-) \$150 for each additional musculoskeletal body area.

(iii) If the examining doctor performs the MMI examination and the IR testing of the musculoskeletal body area(s), the examining doctor shall bill using the appropriate MMI CPT code with modifier 'WP.' Reimbursement shall be 100 percent of the total MAR..."

3. The requestor, Steven Huneycutt, D.C., is seeking reimbursement in the amount of \$800.00 for a designated doctor examination rendered on October 12, 2023.

A review of the medical bills and medical record submitted finds that the requestor's charges for the services rendered on October 12, 2023, are in accordance with 28 TAC §134.250, which sets out the fee guidelines for examinations to determine maximum medical improvement and impairment rating.

The submitted medical record supports that the requestor, a designated doctor, performed an evaluation of maximum medical improvement (MMI) as ordered by DWC. Per 28 TAC §134.250 (3)(C), the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) for this examination is \$350.00.

A review of the submitted medical record additionally finds that the requestor performed an impairment rating (IR) evaluation of two musculoskeletal body areas, with range of motion. The rule at 28 TAC §134.250 (4)(C)(ii) defines the fees for the calculation of an impairment rating for musculoskeletal body areas. The MAR for the evaluation of the first musculoskeletal

body area performed with range of motion is \$300.00. The MAR for the evaluation of subsequent musculoskeletal body areas is \$150.00 each. The requestor assigned an impairment rating utilizing range of motion for two musculoskeletal body areas. The total allowable reimbursement for the impairment rating of the two musculoskeletal body areas for this dispute is \$450.00.

In accordance with 28 TAC §134.250, the reimbursements which apply to the disputed examination rendered on January 14, 2023, are:

- For an MMI examination, reimbursement is \$350.00.
- For an IR of the first musculoskeletal body area with range of motion, reimbursement is \$300.00.
- For an IR of the second musculoskeletal body area with range of motion, reimbursement is \$150.00.
- DWC finds that the total maximum allowable reimbursement for the examination in question is \$800.00.
- The insurance carrier paid \$700.00 after a request for MFDR was submitted.
- Additional reimbursement in the amount of \$100.00 is recommended.

DWC finds that additional reimbursement in the amount of \$100.00 is due for the services in dispute.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requestor has established that additional reimbursement in the amount of \$100.00 is due.

Order

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of for the disputed services. It is ordered that Standard Fire Insurance Co. must remit to Steven Huneycutt, D.C. \$100.00 plus applicable accrued interest within 30 days of receiving this order in accordance with 28 TAC §134.130.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

June 24, 2024
Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel*

a *Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electrónico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.