

## MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### General Information

**Requestor Name**

Rosalind Martz, M.D.

**Respondent Name**

City of Houston

**MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-24-1548-01

**Carrier's Austin Representative**

Box Number 29

**DWC Date Received**

March 13, 2024

### Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
June 29, 2023	Designated Doctor Examination 99456-W5-WP	\$800.00	\$800.00
	99456-W6-RE	\$500.00	\$500.00
	99456-W8-RE	\$250.00	\$250.00
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$1,550.00</b>	<b>\$1,550.00</b>

### Requestor's Position

"CARRIER IS REQUIRED TO PAY DESIGNATED DOCTOR EXAMS... THE CURRENT RULES ALLOW REIMBURSEMENT."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$1,550.00

### Respondent's Position

The Austin carrier representative for the City of Houston is Dean G. Pappas Law Firm, LLC. The representative was notified of this medical fee dispute on March 19, 2024. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 (d)(1), if the DWC does not receive the response within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the DWC may base its decision on the available information. As of today, no response has been received from the insurance carrier or its representative. We will base this decision on the information available.

**Response Submitted by:** N/A

## Findings and Decision

### Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to [Texas Labor Code §413.031](#) and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

### Statutes and Rules

1. [28 Texas Administrative Code \(TAC\) §133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. [28 TAC §134.250](#) sets out the fee guidelines for examinations to determine maximum medical improvement and impairment rating.
3. [28 TAC §134.235](#) sets out the fee guidelines for examinations to determine extent of injury, return to work, and disability.
4. [28 TAC §134.240](#) sets out medical fee guidelines for designated doctor examinations.

### Adjustment Reasons

- No Explanation of Benefits (EOB) document was submitted by either party. The requestor submitted evidence that they requested an EOB from the insurance carrier on October 12, 2023, in their second request for reconsideration.

### Issues

1. What rules apply to the services in dispute?
2. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

### Findings

1. This medical fee dispute involves an examination by a designated doctor for the purpose of establishing: if maximum medical improvement (MMI) has been reached; what date MMI was reached if applicable; and to provide impairment ratings (IR) if MMI has been reached; the extent of the employee's compensable injury and the ability of the employee to return to work.

On the disputed date of service, the requestor billed \$1,550.00 for CPT codes 99456-W5-WP x 2 units, 99456-W6-RE, and 99456-W8-RE.

CPT code 99456 indicates the service of a maximum medical improvement (MMI) and/or impairment rating (IR) examination by a doctor other than the treating doctor. Modifier W5 indicates the examination was performed by a designated doctor. Modifier WP indicates that the same examining doctor performed the MMI examination and the IR testing of the musculoskeletal body area(s), thus reimbursement shall be 100 percent of the total maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR).

CPT code 99456-W6-RE indicates the service of an evaluation by a designated doctor to determine the extent of compensable injury.

CPT code 99456-W8-RE indicates the service of an evaluation by a designated doctor to determine the ability of the employee to return to work.

DWC finds that 28 TAC §134.250 applies to the reimbursement of the MMI and IR services in dispute. 28 TAC §134.250, which sets out the fee guidelines for maximum medical improvement examinations and impairment ratings, states in pertinent part, "(3) The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an MMI evaluation... (C) An examining doctor, other than the treating doctor, shall bill using CPT code 99456. Reimbursement shall be \$350. (4) The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an IR evaluation. (A) The health care provider shall include billing components of the IR evaluation with the applicable MMI evaluation CPT code. The number of body areas rated shall be indicated in the unit's column of the billing form... (C) For musculoskeletal body areas, the examining doctor may bill for a maximum of three body areas.

(i) Musculoskeletal body areas are defined as follows:

- (I) spine and pelvis;
- (II) upper extremities and hands; and
- (III) lower extremities (including feet).

(ii) The maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) for musculoskeletal body areas shall be as follows:

- (I) \$150 for each body area if the diagnosis related estimates (DRE) method found in the AMA Guides fourth edition is used.
- (II) If full physical evaluation, with range of motion, is performed: (-a-) \$300 for the first musculoskeletal body area; and (-b-) \$150 for each additional musculoskeletal body area.

(iii) If the examining doctor performs the MMI examination and the IR testing of the musculoskeletal body area(s), the examining doctor shall bill using the appropriate MMI CPT code with modifier 'WP.' Reimbursement shall be 100 percent of the total MAR.

(D) Non-musculoskeletal body areas shall be billed and reimbursed using the appropriate CPT code(s) for the test(s) required for the assignment of IR.

(i) Non-musculoskeletal body areas are defined as follows:

- (I) body systems;
- (II) body structures (including skin); and
- (III) mental and behavioral disorders...

(v) The MAR for the assignment of an IR in a non-musculoskeletal body area shall be \$150."

28 TAC §134.235, which applies to the billing and reimbursement of some of the services in dispute, states, "The following shall apply to return to work (RTW)/evaluation of medical care (EMC) examinations. When conducting a division or insurance carrier requested RTW/EMC examination, the examining doctor shall bill and be reimbursed using CPT code 99456 with modifier 'RE.' In either instance of whether maximum medical improvement/ impairment rating (MMI/IR) is performed or not, the reimbursement shall be \$500 in accordance with §134.240 of this title and shall include division-required reports. Testing that is required shall be billed using the appropriate CPT codes and reimbursed in addition to the examination fee."

DWC finds that 28 TAC §134.240 applies to the services in dispute and states "The following shall apply to designated doctor examinations:

(1) Designated doctors shall perform examinations in accordance with Labor Code §§408.004, 408.0041, and 408.151 and division rules, and shall be billed and reimbursed as follows:

(A) Impairment caused by the compensable injury shall be billed and reimbursed in accordance with §134.250 of this title, and the use of the additional modifier 'W5' is the first modifier to be applied when performed by a designated doctor;

(B) Attainment of maximum medical improvement shall be billed and reimbursed in accordance with §134.250 of this title, and the use of the additional modifier 'W5' is the first modifier to be applied when performed by a designated doctor;

(C) Extent of the employee's compensable injury shall be billed and reimbursed in accordance with §134.235 of this title, with the use of the additional modifier 'W6'...

(E) Ability of the employee to return to work shall be billed and reimbursed in accordance with §134.235 of this title, with the use of the additional modifier 'W8'...

(2) When multiple examinations under the same specific division order are performed concurrently under paragraph (1)(C) - (F) of this section:

(A) the first examination shall be reimbursed at 100 percent of the set fee outlined in §134.235 of this title;

(B) the second examination shall be reimbursed at 50 percent of the set fee outlined in §134.235 of this title; and

(C) subsequent examinations shall be reimbursed at 25 percent of the set fee outlined in §134.235 of this title."

2. The requestor is seeking reimbursement in the amount of \$1,550.00 for an examination by a designated doctor.

The submitted documentation supports that the requestor, a designated doctor, performed an evaluation of maximum medical improvement (MMI) as ordered by DWC. Per 28 TAC §134.250 (3)(C), the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) for this examination is \$350.00.

A review of the submitted documentation additionally finds that the requestor performed an impairment rating (IR) evaluation of one musculoskeletal body area, the upper extremity, utilizing range of motion measurements. The rule at 28 TAC §134.250 (4)(C)(ii) defines the fees for the calculation of an impairment rating for musculoskeletal body areas. The MAR for the evaluation of the first musculoskeletal body area performed with range of motion is \$300.00. The submitted documentation supports that the requestor also performed an IR evaluation of one non-musculoskeletal body area. The MAR for impairment rating of a non-musculoskeletal body area is \$150.00 in accordance with 28 TAC §134.250.

The submitted documentation also supports that the requestor provided an evaluation to determine the extent of the compensable injury and the ability of the injured worker to return to work. The MAR for the first evaluation of this type is \$500.00 and for the second evaluation is \$250.00 (50%) in accordance with 28 TAC §134.235 and 28 TAC §134.240.

DWC finds that the reimbursements, which apply to the disputed examination rendered on June 29, 2023, are:

- For an MMI examination, reimbursement is \$350.00.
- For an IR of one musculoskeletal body area with range of motion, reimbursement is \$300.00.
- For an IR of one non-musculoskeletal body area, reimbursement is \$150.00.
- For an evaluation to determine the extent of injury, reimbursement is \$500.00.
- For the evaluation to determine ability to return to work, reimbursement is \$250.00 (50% of the evaluation above).
- DWC finds that the total maximum allowable reimbursement for the examination in question, rendered on June 29, 2023, is \$1,550.00.
- No evidence was submitted to support that the insurance carrier has made a payment in any amount for the disputed services.

DWC finds that reimbursement in the amount of \$1,550.00 is due for the services in dispute.

### Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requestor has established that reimbursement in the amount of \$1,550.00 is due.

### **Order**

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to reimbursement for the disputed services. It is ordered that the City of Houston must remit to Rosalind Martz, M.D. \$1,550.00 plus applicable accrued interest within 30 days of receiving this order in accordance with 28 TAC §134.130.

### **Authorized Signature**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

May 20, 2024

### **Your Right to Appeal**

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at [www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html](http://www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html). DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email [CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov](mailto:CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov).

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electrónico [CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov](mailto:CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov).