



MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

General Information

Requestor Name

Joe Huggins, D.C.

Respondent Name

FCCI Insurance Co.

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-24-0954-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 47

DWC Date Received

January 3, 2024

Summary of Findings

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
September 13, 2023	99456-WP	\$0.00	\$0.00
September 13, 2023	99456-WP	\$300.00	\$300.00
Total		\$300.00	\$300.00

Requestor's Position

The submitted documentation does not include a position statement from the requestor. Accordingly, this decision is based on the information available at the time of adjudication.

Amount in Dispute: \$300.00

Respondent's Position

The Austin carrier representative for FCCI Insurance Co. is Burns, Anderson, Jury & Brenner, LP. The representative was notified of this medical fee dispute on January 9, 2024. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 (d)(1), if the DWC does not receive the response within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the DWC may base its decision on the available information. As of today, no response has been received from the insurance carrier or its representative. We will base this decision on the information available.

Response Submitted by: N/A

Findings and Decision

Authority

This medical fee dispute is decided according to [Texas Labor Code §413.031](#) and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC).

Statutes and Rules

1. [28 Texas Administrative Code \(TAC\) §133.307](#) sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. [28 TAC §134.250](#) sets out the fee guidelines for examinations to determine maximum medical improvement and impairment rating.
3. [28 TAC §137.100](#) sets out treatment guidelines for disability management.
4. [28 TAC §134.240](#) sets out guidelines of medical bill processing and auditing by insurance carriers.
5. [28 TAC, Chapter 19](#) sets out the requirements for utilization review.

Adjustment Reasons

The insurance carrier denied or reduced the payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:

- 50 – THESE ARE NON-COVERED SERVICES BECAUSE THIS IS NOT DEEMED A 'MEDICAL NECESSITY' BY THE PAYER.
- P12 - WORKERS' COMPENSATION JURISDICTIONAL FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT.

Issues

1. What rules apply to the service in dispute?
2. Is the insurance carrier's reason for denial of reimbursement supported?
3. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

1. This medical fee dispute involves an examination for the purpose of establishing: if maximum medical improvement (MMI) has been reached; what date MMI was reached if applicable; and to provide impairment ratings (IR) if MMI has been reached.

On the disputed date of service, the requestor billed \$650.00 for CPT code 99456-WP. CPT code 99456 indicates the service of a maximum medical improvement (MMI) and/or impairment rating (IR) examination by a doctor other than the treating doctor. Modifier WP indicates that the same examining doctor performed the MMI examination and the IR testing of the musculoskeletal body area(s), thus reimbursement shall be 100 percent of the total maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR).

DWC finds that 28 TAC §134.250 applies to the reimbursement of the service in dispute. 28 TAC §134.250, which sets out the fee guidelines for maximum medical improvement examinations and impairment ratings, states in pertinent part, "(3) The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an MMI evaluation... (C) An examining doctor, other than the treating doctor, shall bill using CPT code 99456. Reimbursement shall be \$350. (4) The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an IR evaluation. (A) The health care provider shall include billing components of the IR evaluation with the applicable MMI evaluation CPT code. The number of body areas rated shall be indicated in the unit's column of the billing form... (C) For musculoskeletal body areas, the examining doctor may bill for a maximum of three body areas.

(i) Musculoskeletal body areas are defined as follows:

(I) spine and pelvis;

(II) upper extremities and hands; and

(III) lower extremities (including feet).

(ii) The maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) for musculoskeletal body areas shall be as follows:

(I) \$150 for each body area if the diagnosis related estimates (DRE) method found in the AMA Guides fourth edition is used.

(II) If full physical evaluation, with range of motion, is performed: (-a-) \$300 for the first musculoskeletal body area; and (-b-) \$150 for each additional musculoskeletal body area.

(iii) If the examining doctor performs the MMI examination and the IR testing of the musculoskeletal body area(s), the examining doctor shall bill using the appropriate MMI CPT code with modifier 'WP.' Reimbursement shall be 100 percent of the total MAR..."

2. A review of the submitted explanation of benefits (EOB) documents finds that the insurance carrier denied a portion of the services rendered on September 13, 2023, based on lack of medical necessity.

DWC Rule 28 TAC §137.100 (e) states, "An insurance carrier may retrospectively review, and if appropriate, deny payment for treatments and services not preauthorized under subsection (d) of this section when the insurance carrier asserts that health care provided within the Division treatment guidelines is not reasonably required. The assertion must be supported by documentation of evidence-based medicine that outweighs the presumption of reasonableness established by Labor Code §413.017."

Additionally, 28 TAC §134.240 (q) states, in relevant part, "when the insurance carrier is questioning the medical necessity or appropriateness of the health care services, the insurance carrier shall comply with the requirements of §19.2010 of this title..., including the requirement that prior to issuance of an adverse determination the insurance carrier shall afford the health care provider a reasonable opportunity to discuss the billed health care with a doctor ..."

28 TAC §133.307 (d)(2)(I) which sets out the procedures for medical fee dispute resolutions, states in pertinent part, "Response. On receipt of the request, the respondent must provide any missing information not provided by the requestor and known to the respondent. The respondent must also provide the following information and records: ... (I) If the medical fee dispute involves medical necessity issues, the insurance carrier must attach documentation that supports an adverse determination in accordance with §19.2005 of this title (concerning General Standards of Utilization Review)."

Submitted documentation does not support that the insurance carrier followed the appropriate procedures for a denial based on lack of medical necessity. DWC finds that the insurance carrier's reason for denial of the disputed service is not supported.

3. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount of \$300.00 for an examination to determine maximum medical improvement and impairment rating.

The submitted documentation supports that the requestor performed an evaluation of maximum medical improvement (MMI). Per 28 TAC §134.250 (3)(C), the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) for this examination is \$350.00.

A review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor performed an impairment rating (IR) evaluation of the upper extremity, one musculoskeletal body area. The rule at 28 TAC §134.250 (4)(C)(ii) defines the fees for the calculation of an impairment rating for musculoskeletal body areas. The MAR for the evaluation of the first musculoskeletal body area performed with range of motion is \$300.00. The MAR for the evaluation of subsequent musculoskeletal body areas is \$150.00 each. The requestor assigned an impairment rating utilizing range of motion for the upper extremity, one musculoskeletal body area. The total allowable reimbursement for the impairment rating of the musculoskeletal body area for this dispute is \$300.00.

In accordance with 28 TAC §134.250, the reimbursements which apply to the disputed examination rendered on September 13, 2023, are:

- For an MMI examination reimbursement is \$350.00.
- For an IR of one musculoskeletal body area reimbursement is \$300.00.
- DWC finds that the total maximum allowable reimbursement for the examination in question rendered on September 13, 2023, is \$650.00.
- Per explanation of benefits submitted, the insurance carrier paid \$350.00 for the disputed services rendered on September 13, 2023.
- Additional reimbursement in the amount of \$300.00 is recommended.

DWC finds that additional reimbursement in the amount of \$300.00 is due for the services in dispute.

Conclusion

The outcome of this medical fee dispute is based on the evidence presented by the requestor and the respondent at the time of adjudication. Though all evidence may not have been discussed, it was considered.

DWC finds the requestor has established that additional reimbursement in the amount of \$300.00 is due.

Order

Under Texas Labor Code §§413.031 and 413.019, DWC has determined the requestor is entitled to \$300.00 additional reimbursement for the disputed services. It is ordered that FCCI Insurance Co., must remit to Joe Huggins, D.C. \$300.00 plus applicable accrued interest within 30 days of receiving this order in accordance with 28 TAC §134.130.

Authorized Signature

April 3, 2024

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

Date

Your Right to Appeal

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision under 28 TAC §133.307, which applies to disputes filed on or after **June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit DWC Form-045M, *Request to Schedule, Reschedule, or Cancel a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision (BRC-MFD)* and follow the instructions on the form. You can find the form at www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/form20numeric.html. DWC must receive the request within **20 days** of when you receive this decision. You may fax, mail, or personally deliver your request to DWC using the contact information on the form or the field office handling the claim. If you have questions about DWC Form-045M, please call CompConnection at 1-800-252-7031, option 3 or email CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision must deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with DWC. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** with any other required information listed in 28 TAC §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 1-800-252-7031, opción 3 o correo electrónico CompConnection@tdi.texas.gov.