



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

Respondent Name

State Office of Risk Management

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-18-4873-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 45

MFDR Date Received

August 13, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "These medications do not require preauthorization therefore do not need a retrospective review."

Amount in Dispute: \$583.89

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Because compounded topical creams were investigational or experimental in nature and has not been accepted as the prevailing standard of care, its use requires preauthorization."

Response Submitted by: State Office of Risk Management

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

| Dates of Service | Disputed Services | Amount In Dispute | Amount Due |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|
| January 29, 2018 | Compound Medication | \$583.89 | \$583.89 |

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502 sets out the procedures for pharmaceutical benefits.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530 sets out the closed formulary requirements for claims not subject to certified networks.
- The insurance carrier denied payment based on the absence of preauthorization.

Issues

1. Is the insurance carrier's reason for denial of payment supported?
2. Is Memorial Compounding Pharmacy (Memorial) entitled to reimbursement for the compound in question?

Findings

1. Memorial is seeking reimbursement for a compound dispensed on January 29, 2018. The insurance carrier denied the disputed compound based on preauthorization. Preauthorization is only required for:
 - drugs identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG Appendix A¹;
 - any compound that contains a drug identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG Appendix A; and
 - any investigational or experimental drug.²

The compound in question does not contain an ingredient identified with a status of "N" in the current edition of the ODG, Appendix A.

The insurance carrier argued that compound medications constitute a new, non-approved and non-recognized drug and are considered investigational/experimental.

The determination of a service's investigational or experimental nature is made on a case by case basis through utilization review.³ Utilization review, includes a prospective, concurrent, or retrospective review to determine the experimental or investigational nature of health care services.⁴

The carrier provided no evidence that it engaged in a prospective or retrospective utilization review to establish that the specific compound considered in this review is investigational or experimental.

Because the insurance carrier failed to perform utilization review on the disputed compound, the requirement for preauthorization based on a premise that the compound is investigational or experimental is not triggered in this case. The insurance carrier's preauthorization denial is therefore not supported.

2. Because the insurance carrier failed to sufficiently support its denial of reimbursement, Memorial is entitled to reimbursement.

The compound in dispute was billed by listing each drug included in the compound and calculating the charge for each drug separately.⁵ Each ingredient is listed below with its reimbursement amount.⁶ The calculation of the total allowable amount is as follows:

| Drug | NDC | Generic(G) /Brand(B) | Price /Unit | Units Billed | AWP Formula | Billed Amt | Lesser of AWP and Billed |
|----------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Flurbiprofen | 38779036209 | G | \$36.58 | 6 | \$274.35 | \$219.48 | \$219.48 |
| Meloxicam | 38779274601 | G | \$194.67 | 0.18 | \$43.80 | \$35.04 | \$35.04 |
| Mefenamic Acid | 38779066906 | G | \$123.60 | 1.8 | \$278.10 | \$222.48 | \$222.48 |
| Baclofen | 38779038809 | G | \$35.63 | 3 | \$133.61 | \$106.89 | \$106.89 |
| | | | | | | Total | \$583.89 |

The total reimbursement is therefore \$583.89. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$583.89.

¹ ODG Treatment in Workers' Comp (ODG) / Appendix A, ODG Workers' Compensation Drug Formulary

² 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.540 and 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530

³ Texas Insurance Code §19.2005(b)

⁴ Texas Insurance Code §4201.002(13)

⁵ 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502(d)(2)

⁶ 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503(c)

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$583.89, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------------------------|
| _____ | _____ Laurie Garnes _____ | _____ October 25, 2018 _____ |
| Signature | Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer | Date |

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.