



TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Division of Workers' Compensation - Medical Fee Dispute Resolution (MS-48)

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas 78744-1645

(512) 804-4000 | F: (512) 804-4811 | (800) 252-7031 | TDI.texas.gov | @TexasTDI

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Memorial Compounding Pharmacy

Respondent Name

Trumbull Insurance Company

MFDR Tracking Number

M418-4574-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 47

MFDR Date Received

July 31, 2018

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "These medications do not require preauthorization therefore do not need a retrospective review."

Amount in Dispute: \$726.62

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Compounds are considered off label as many ingredients are not FDA approved for topical use. Texas Guidelines requires prescribers to submit requests through UR and not the pharmacy."

Response Submitted by: The Hartford

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
December 11, 2017	Compound Medication	\$726.62	\$726.62

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502 sets out the procedures for pharmaceutical benefits.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guidelines for pharmaceutical services.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.530 sets out the closed formulary requirements for claims not subject to certified networks.
- The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 75 – Prior authorization required

- P2 – Not a work related injury/illness and thus not the liability of the workers’ compensation carrier.

Issues

1. Is this dispute subject to dismissal based on relatedness?
2. Is the insurance carrier’s denial based on preauthorization supported?
3. Is Memorial Compounding Pharmacy (Memorial) entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

1. Memorial is seeking reimbursement for a compound dispensed on December 11, 2017. Trumbull Insurance Company (Trumbull) denied the compound, in part, based on relatedness to the compensable injury. A dispute regarding the relatedness to the compensable injury must be resolved prior to a request for medical fee dispute.¹

The respondent is required to attach a copy of any related Plain Language Notice (PLN) if the medical fee dispute involves compensability or liability. Review of the submitted documentation finds that The Hartford failed to attach a copy of a related PLN on behalf of the insurance carrier to support a denial based on relatedness to the compensable injury.

The dispute in question is not subject to dismissal as this denial reason was not sufficiently supported.

2. The insurance carrier denied the disputed compound based on preauthorization. Preauthorization for compounds is only required for:
 - any compound that contains a drug identified with a status of “N” in the current edition of the ODG Appendix A²; and
 - any investigational or experimental drug.³

The compound in question does not contain an ingredient identified with a status of “N” in the current edition of the ODG, Appendix A.

The determination of a service’s investigational or experimental nature is determined on a case by case basis through utilization review.⁴ The insurance carrier provided no evidence that it engaged in a prospective or retrospective utilization review to establish that the specific compound considered in this review is investigational or experimental.

The insurance carrier’s preauthorization denial is therefore not supported.

3. Because the insurance carrier failed to sufficiently support its denial of reimbursement, Memorial is entitled to reimbursement.

The compound in dispute was billed by listing each **drug** included in the compound and calculating the charge for each drug separately.⁵ Each ingredient is listed below with its reimbursement amount.⁶ The calculation of the total allowable amount is as follows:

¹ 28 Texas Administrative Codes §§133.305(b) and 133.307(c)(1)(B)(i)

² *ODG Treatment in Workers’ Comp* (ODG) / Appendix A, *ODG Workers’ Compensation Drug Formulary*

³ 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.540(b)

⁴ Texas Insurance Code §19.2005(b)

⁵ 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502(d)(2)

⁶ 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503(c)

Drug	NDC	Generic(G) /Brand(B)	Price /Unit	Units Billed	AWP Formula	Billed Amt	Lesser of AWP and Billed
Meloxicam	38779274601	G	\$194.67	0.18	\$43.80	\$35.04	\$35.04
Flurbiprofen	38779036209	G	\$36.58	4.8	\$219.48	\$175.58	\$175.58
Tramadol	38779237409	G	\$36.30	6	\$272.25	\$217.80	\$217.80
Cyclobenzaprine	38779039509	G	\$46.33	1.8	\$104.24	\$83.39	\$83.39
Bupivacaine	38779052405	G	\$45.60	1.2	\$68.40	\$54.72	\$54.72
Ethoxy Diglycol	38779190301	G	\$0.34	3	\$1.28	\$1.03	\$1.03
Versapro Cream	38779252903	B	\$3.20	45.02	\$157.03	\$144.06	\$144.06
Fee	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$15.00	\$15.00	\$15.00
						Total	\$726.62

The total reimbursement is therefore \$726.62. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$726.62.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$726.62, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Laurie Garnes
Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

November 6, 2018
Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.