



# Texas Department of Insurance

## Division of Workers' Compensation

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48  
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645  
512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • [www.tdi.texas.gov](http://www.tdi.texas.gov)

### MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

**Requestor Name**

TOPS Surgical Specialty Hospital

**Respondent Name**

Liberty Insurance Corp

**MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-09-6939-01

**Carrier's Austin Representative**

Box Number 01

**MFDR Date Received**

March 13, 2009

#### REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "Liberty Mutual has stated that our claim has denied because we did not bill with the CPT codes on every single line. As you can see, we are a hospital and per Medicare guidelines a hospital is not required to bill with CPT codes for revenue codes 250, 258, 270 272, 370, 710."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$1,149.51

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "Add'l payment issued EOB enclosed."

**Response Submitted by:** Liberty Mutual

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
April 3, 2008	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$1,149.51	\$1,108.97

#### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

#### **Background**

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the fee guidelines for outpatient acute care hospital services.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203 sets out the fee guidelines for professional medical services.
4. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
  - 16 – X936 – CPT or HCPC is required to determine if services are payable
  - 42 – Z710 – The charge for this procedure exceeds the fee schedule allowance
  - 24 – P303 – This service was reviewed in accordance with your contract
  - 17 – U849 – This multiple procedure was reduced 50% according to fee schedule or usual and customary guidelines.

## Issues

1. Are the disputed services subject to a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute?
2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
3. What is the recommended payment amount for the services in dispute?
4. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

## Findings

1. Review of the submitted documentation finds a contract was submitted however the parties listed are; Memorial Hermann Health System and The First Health Network. Appendix C of this document lists the name and Tax ID# for the included parties. Neither Texas Outpatient Surgicare nor their tax identification number is listed. Therefore the Division finds no information to support that the disputed services are subject to a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute.
2. This dispute relates to facility services performed in an outpatient hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, which requires that the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register with the application of minimal modifications as set forth in the rule. Per §134.403(f)(1), the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 200 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables. Review of the submitted documentation finds that separate reimbursement for implantables is not applicable.
3. Under the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS), each billed service is assigned an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) based on the procedure code used, the supporting documentation and the other services that appear on the bill. A payment rate is established for each APC. Depending on the services provided, hospitals may be paid for more than one APC per encounter. Payment for ancillary and supportive items and services, including services that are billed without procedure codes, is packaged into payment for the primary service. A full list of APCs is published quarterly in the OPPS final rules, which are publicly available through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) website. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:
  - Procedure code 29826 has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple-procedure discounting. The highest paying status T procedure is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 100%. These services are classified under APC 42, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$2,911.27. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$1,746.76. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9997 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$1,746.24. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$1,164.51. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$2,910.75. Per 42 Code of Federal Regulations §419.43(d) and Medicare Claims Processing Manual, CMS Publication 100-04, Chapter 4, §10.7.1, if the total cost for a service exceeds 1.75 times the OPPS payment and also exceeds the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$1,575, the outlier payment is 50% of the amount by which the cost exceeds 1.75 times the OPPS payment. Per the OPPS Facility-Specific Impacts file, CMS lists the cost-to-charge ratio for this provider as 0.186. This ratio multiplied by the billed charge of \$9,129.00 yields a cost of \$1,697.99. The total cost of all packaged items is allocated proportionately across all separately paid OPPS services based on the percentage of the total APC payment. The APC payment for these services of \$2,910.75 divided by the sum of all APC payments is 76.06%. The sum of all packaged costs is \$998.60. The allocated portion of packaged costs is \$759.49. This amount added to the service cost yields a total cost of \$2,457.48. The cost of these services exceeds the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$1,575. The amount by which the cost exceeds 1.75 times the OPPS payment is \$0.00. The total Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount for this line is \$2,910.75. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$5,821.50.
  - Procedure code 29999 has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple-procedure discounting. The highest paying status T procedure is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 50%. These services are classified under APC 41, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$1,833.13. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$1,099.88. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9997 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$1,099.55. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$733.25. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$1,832.80. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$1,575. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount for this line, including multiple-procedure discount, is \$916.40. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$1,832.80.

4. The total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$7,654.30. This amount less the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier of \$6,545.33 leaves an amount due to the requestor of \$1,108.97. This amount is recommended.

**Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$1,108.97.

**ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$1,108.97, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

**Authorized Signature**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

October , 2014  
Date

**YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL**

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party.**

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**