Independent Medical Reviews LLC 17304 Preston Road, Suite 800 | Dallas, Texas 75252 Phone: 214 732 9359 | Fax: 972 980 7836

Notice of Independent Review Decision

DATE OF REVIEW: X

IRO CASE # X

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:

"Х.″

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

Х.

REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

Upheld	(Agree)
--------	---------

 \bigcirc Overturned (Disagree)

Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part)

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW

<u>X</u> PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

This patient's mechanism of injury was a X. Diagnoses included a left middle finger phalanx fracture as well as a right ankle sprain and a left ankle sprain.

Prior treatment for this injury has included X. As of X, the claimant was seen in follow-up by Dr.X. The claimant was able to make a full fist and grip strength was X with some associated pain. The claimant was noted to be improving in terms of range of motion and strength.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

Per ODG references the requested "X" are medically necessary.

Official Disability Guidelines recommendations for X is generally recommended on an individualized basis, with transition to independent active home rehabilitation. Given the current situation regarding pain which limits return to full range of motion of the hand, X would still be recommended to assist the patient in X. Particularly given the subacute timeframe of the injury, a delay in treatment could lead to residual contractures.

I would not anticipate X on this. I would recommend that after the currently requested X, the patient would likely transition to an independent home rehabilitation program. Considering these various factors, the request at this time is medically necessary and should be certified.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE KNOWLEDGE BASE

AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES

DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES

EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN

INTERQUAL CRITERIA

MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL

EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS

MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES

MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES

ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES

PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR

] TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS

TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL

Independent Medical Reviews LLC 17304 Preston Road, Suite 800 | Dallas, Texas 75252 Phone: 214 732 9359 | Fax: 972 980 7836

PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)

OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES