# Clear Resolutions Inc. An Independent Review Organization 3616 Far West Blvd Ste 117-501 CR Austin, TX 78731

Phone: (512) 879-6370 Fax: (512) 572-0836

Email: @cri-iro.com

## Notice of Independent Review Decision Amendment

#### **IRO REVIEWER REPORT**

X; Amendment IRO CASE #: X

**DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:** X

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTX HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION: X

#### **REVIEW OUTCOME:**

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

Upheld

#### **INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW: X**

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]: X is a X who was injured on X. X was X. The diagnosis was medial meniscal tear with degenerative changes of right knee. On X, X was seen by X, MD for right knee pain. X attended three sessions of X. X had severe X. Right knee examination showed pain over the medial joint line. There was no effusion. X caused pain. X was recommended. An MRI of the right knee dated X demonstrated X. X of the right knee which was moderate to severe within the medial compartment. There was marked X. X was noted. X of the distal segment of the X. Treatment to date included X. Per a utilization review adverse determination letter dated X by X, MD, the X is non certified. Rationale: "The request for X is not medically necessary. The injured worker has X. ODG does not support X with these findings. "Per a reconsideration review adverse determination letter dated X by X, MD the appeal request for X is non certified. Rationale: "The injured worker in this case has X. There is evidence of an X on MRI. X in this clinical picture is not warranted and not supported by ODG. X is not medically necessary." The requested X consisting of X is not medically necessary. The submitted records demonstrate the presence of X. The records reflect that the patient has attended X and undergone X. The guidelines do not support for X with severe X. X is not medically necessary and non certified

### ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

The requested X consisting of X is not medically necessary. The submitted records demonstrate the presence of X. The records reflect that the patient has X and undergone X. The guidelines do not support for X with severe X. X is not medically necessary and non certified Upheld

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTX CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:
☐ ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
☐ ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
☐ AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
$\square$ DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
$\square$ EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
☐ INTERQUAL CRITERIA
☑ MEDICAL JUDGMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
☐ MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
☐ MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
☐ PRESLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
$\square$ TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
☐ TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
$\square$ PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
☐ OTX EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)