Clear Resolutions Inc. An Independent Review Organization 3616 Far West Blvd Ste 117-501 CR Austin. TX 78731

Phone: (512) 879-6370

Fax: (512) 572-0836 Email: @cri-iro.com

Notice of Independent Review Decision Amendment X Amendment X

IRO REVIEWER REPORT

Date: X;X; Amendment X

IRO CASE #: X

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE: X

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE

DECISION: X

REVIEW OUTCOME:

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

☐ Overturned Disagree	
☐ Partially Overturned	Agree in part/Disagree in part
☑ Upheld Agree	

Medical Records Reviewed:

No Medical Records Recieved

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW: • X

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]: X who was injured on X. Per the adverse determination letter dated X, the mechanism of injury was documented as X. Per a utilization review adverse determination letter dated X by X, MD, the request for X was denied. Rationale: "Per the ODG by MCG, X. It recommends X. In this case, the claimant had completed X. However, there is no documented measured objective X. Moreover, approval of the requested X. As such, the request is non-certified. "Per an appeal determination denial letter dated X by X, DO, the request for X was denied. Rationale: "ODG by MCG X. The patient is a X who sustained an injury on X. The request is not medically necessary and appropriate. In addition to the X. "Thoroughly reviewed provided documentation. As peer reviews mention, request for further X. No extenuating circumstances documented that would X. Further, no objective improvement noted X. X is not medically necessary and non certified

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

Thoroughly reviewed provided documentation. As peer reviews mention, request X. No extenuating circumstances documented X. Further, no objective improvement noted X. X is not medically necessary and non certified Upheld

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:
☐ ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
☑ ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
☐ AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
\square DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
\square EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
☐ INTERQUAL CRITERIA
☑ MEDICAL JUDGMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
☐ MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
☐ MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
\square PRESLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
\square TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
☐ TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
\square PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
☐ OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED