True Decisions Inc.
An Independent Review Organization
1301 E. Debbie Ln. Ste. 102 #615
Mansfield, TX 76063

Phone: (512) 298-4786 Fax: (888) 507-6912

Email: @truedecisionsiro.com

Notice of Independent Review Decision

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE: X

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION: X

REVIEW OUTCOME:

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

☐ Overturned	Disagree
☐ Partially Overturned	Agree in part/Disagree in part
□ Upheld	Agree

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW:

Χ

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

X is a X who sustained a work-related injury on X. X was X. The diagnosis was X. X was seen by X, on X for a follow-up of X. X had undergone X. X had been X. X continued to have X, but X. X. Treatment to date included X; the request for X was denied by X, MD. Rationale: X. Per a reconsideration / utilization review adverse determination letter dated X, the prior denial was upheld by X, MD. Rationale: X. The ODG recommends X. The documentation provided indicates that the worker X. The injured worker has X. They have X. A physical exam X on X documented X. X was recommended. There is a current request for X. When noting that guidelines have been exceeded and there is no indication of X, X is not supported. As such, X is noncertified. The X between X and X is not medically necessary and non certified

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

The ODG recommends X. The documentation provided indicates that the worker X. The injured worker has X. They have X. A physical exam of X on X documented X. X was recommended.

There is a current request for X. When noting that guidelines have been exceeded and there is no indication of X, X is not supported. As such, X is noncertified. The X is not medically necessary and non certified Upheld

A DESCRIPTION AND THE S	SOURCE OF THE SCREENIN	IG CRITERIA OR OTHER	R CLINICAL BASIS
USED TO MAKE THE DECIS	SION:		

$\hfill \square$ Acoem- American college of occupational & environmental medicine um knowledgebase
\square AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
$\ \square$ DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
\square EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
☐ INTERQUAL CRITERIA
☑ MEDICAL JUDGMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
☐ MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
☐ MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
☑ ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
\square OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
\square PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
☐ PRESLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
$\hfill\square$ Texas guidelines for Chiropractic quality assurance & practice parameters
☐ TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL