Pure Resolutions LLC An Independent Review Organization 990 Hwy 287 N. Ste. 106 PMB 133 Mansfield, TX 76063

Phone: (817) 779-3288 Fax: (888) 511-3176

Email: @pureresolutions.com

Notice of Independent Review Decision

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:.X

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION: X

REVIEW OUTCOME:

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

X

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW:

Χ

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

X who sustained an injury on X. The diagnosis included X. Per the available records from prior review, X was seen by X, MD on X for X. On X examination, there was X. The X however there was X. There was X. X was X. There was X with X. Treatment to date included X. Per Adverse Determination Letter by X, MD on X, the request for X: "ODG indicated X. ODG Indications for X. Criteria: X should be performed X. After this time, the X. However, when the X. X treatment may be X. Based upon the medical documentation presently available for review, the abovenoted reference X. The X examination findings are X. X documentation noting the X. There is X. Lastly, there is X included for the review to support X. Given the information provided, it is X. Given the X. "Per Adverse Determination after Reconsideration Notice by X, DO on X, the request for X. Rationale: "Per the DOG by X. The claimant reported X. On X examination, there was X. The X. There was X.

There was X. However, there was X. As such, the request for X. The requested X. According to the medical documentation, there is X. However, there is X. In addition, there is X. The X has X. X has been provided which would X.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

The requested X. According to the medical documentation, there is X. However, there is X. In addition, there is X. The X has X. X has been X.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHE
CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

☐ ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL
MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
\square AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
☐ DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
☐ EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
☐ INTERQUAL CRITERIA
☑ MEDICAL JUDGMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
☐ MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
☐ MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
☑ ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
☐ OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
\square PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
☐ PRESLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
☐ TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
☐ TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL