IRO Express Inc. An Independent Review Organization 2131 N. Collins, #433409 Arlington, TX 76011 Phone: (682) 238-4976 Fax: (888) 519-5107 Email: @iroexpress.com Notice of Independent Review Decision

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE: X

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION: X

REVIEW OUTCOME:

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

Х

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW:

Х

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

X who injured X. The diagnosis was X. On X was evaluated X, MD. X was originally evaluated in the X. X had previously undergone a X. X had gone on to X, but had never X. X reported X had been held X. Workup had revealed that X did have X. X showed that the X. X had requested for X. X would like to be able to X. X had identified that with the ongoing state of X. Dr. X felt that X was at X. X had done research and X had question whether or not he would be better off with X. It was discussed that from a X. It was discussed that X. Some of the X were discussed that could occur with X. X would like to be considered for X. Dr. X requested that if X wished to proceed, X. The assessment included X. On X, Dr. X placed a request for authorization for X. An X demonstrated X of X. No X was noted. The X. There was no evidence of X noted. An X revealed X. X was noted. A X dated X showed X. There was X seen. X was seen. Treatment to date included X. Per a utilization review adverse determination letter dated X, MD, the request for X. Rationale: "ODG does not address the request for X. Per the peer-reviewed literature, "X."

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

The claimant has had a X. The medical records indicate the X. However, there is X. Furthermore, there is X. The X procedure. Based on review of all records provided the request for X.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

□ ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE

□ AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES

□ DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES

□ EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN

□ INTERQUAL CRITERIA

MEDICAL JUDGMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS

□ MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES

□ MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES

☑ ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES

□ OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)

□ PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)

□ PRESLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR

□ TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS

□ TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL