# IMED, INC.

PO Box 558 Melissa, TX 75454 Office: 214-223-6105 Fax: 469-283-2928 email: <u>@msn.com</u>

Notice of Independent Review Decision

# DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE: $\boldsymbol{X}$

#### A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION: X

# **REVIEW OUTCOME:**

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

Х

Provide a description of the review outcome that clearly states whether medical necessity exists for <u>each</u> of the health care services in dispute.

# **INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO**

FOR REVIEW:

Х

# PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The claimant is a X who sustained an injury on X when X. The claimant was initially assessed with X. The claimant was X in X due to X. The claimant described X. The X dated X noted X. The X evaluation noted X. X was X. The X did note X. There was X and X. The requested X was denied by utilization review which noted lack of any significant X symptoms and limited detail regarding X.

#### ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

In review of the clinical findings, there is evidence of X based on the current X and X results. The claimant reported X. The current X did note X. Given the inability to tolerate X to include X and the X that correlate with X and X, it would be reasonable to proceed with X. Therefore, it is this reviewer's opinion that medical necessity is established and the previous denials for the X are overturned.

#### A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

### □X MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS

□X ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES