

530 N. Crockett #1770 Granbury, Texas 76048 Ph 972-825-7231 Fax 972-274-9022

#### **Notice of Independent Review Decision**

### DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE X

# A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

#### **REVIEW OUTCOME**

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

Χ

The reviewer agrees with the previous adverse determination regarding the medical necessity of: X

## INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW X

#### PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

Claimant is a X with a X of X. The referred diagnoses included X. Based on office visit on X the claimant has a history of X. X is rated X on a X. It was noted that the X provides X in X without any X. X include X and X. X includes X. The X showed there were X on the X to the X and X from X with X. There was X in the X. Previous and current treatments included X. The treatment plan was to continue X and X.

## ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

In regards to the medical necessity of: X-per evidence-based guidelines, and the records submitted, this request is not medically necessary and is non-certified. Based on the documentation provided and per guidelines, the request of X is not considered medically necessary in this case. Though the claimant has a history of X, there was no documentation of any X on the current X. Despite being on the X, the claimant continues to have X reported at X out of X on a X. Additionally, there was no documentation of any X results. As such, the request is not considered medically necessary at this time.

In regards to the medical necessity of: X, though the claimant has a history of X, the requested X is only recommended for X. Given the X nature of the patient's symptoms, the request is not considered medically necessary at this time.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

| ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE                  |
|--|
| AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES   |
| DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES                                       |
| EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN  |
| INTERQUAL CRITERIA   |
| MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS |
| MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES   |
| MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES   |
| ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES   |
| PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR  |
| TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS                          |
| TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL  |
| PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)                       |

OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)