

Pure Resolutions LLC  
An Independent Review Organization  
990 Hwy 287 N. Ste. 106 PMB 133  
Mansfield, TX 76063  
Phone: (817) 779-3288  
Fax: (888) 511-3176  
Email: @pureresolutions.com

***Notice of Independent Review Decision***

---

*Sent to the Following*

**DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE: X**

**A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION: X**

**REVIEW OUTCOME:**

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

X

**INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW:**

X

**PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:**

X is a X who sustained an injury on X. X was being X and X by a X. The diagnoses included X, X, and X. X was seen by X, MD on X and X for continued pain in the X, X, and X. X stated the X was the X. The pain was described as X in X, X, X, X, and X. The pain was felt most with X, X, and X. It was X with X. X also complained of X and X. X stated that X from X to X. X mentioned that X were X as X had X and X to the X of X and X. The X was rated X. On examination, there was X. X, X, and X were X. It was X that X may require X but would like to address the X first with an X prior to determining what exactly was needed X if indeed X would require X. X of the X on X demonstrated X, X; X; X; and X. There was X, X and X; X on X, X on X,

and X on X. At X, X, X, X, X, and X; at X, X with X of X, X with X and a X, X, X, X; at X, preserved X, X, X, X, X; at X, X, X with a X and X, X, X, and X; at X, X, X, X, and X. Treatment to date included X and X. Per utilization review by X, MD on X, the request for X was non-certified. Rationale: "ODG Online Edition, X and X, Updated X, X for X and X states, "Not recommended (neither X for X, based on insufficient evidence. Recommended on a case-by-case basis as X for X. This is a condition that is X, X, X, and X. ODG Online Edition, X Chapter, Updated X, X, "Not recommended for X. Recommend on a case-by-case for X." The patient is noted to have X. The claimant was diagnosed with X, not elsewhere classified. The guidelines do not support the use of this type of X for X, which is not the case of this patient. There is no X that would support the use of this type of X of the guideline recommendations. As such, the request is non-certified." Per peer review by X, MD on X, the request for X was non-certified. Rationale: "The claimant has X, X and X, X, X, X. X shows X, detailed above, most pronounced at X, X, X, and X. There are no X included in the request. The guidelines do not support this for this condition, Therefore, X is not medically necessary."

**ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:**

Based on the clinical information provided, the request for X is not recommended as medically necessary. There is insufficient information to support a change in determination, and the previous non-certifications are upheld. The Official Disability Guidelines would not support the requested procedure for the patient's clinical presentation. When treatment is outside the guidelines, X should be noted. There are no X of X documented.

Therefore, medical necessity is not established in accordance with current evidence based guidelines.

**A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:**

- ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
- AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
- DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
- EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
- INTERQUAL CRITERIA
- MEDICAL JUDGMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
- MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
- MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
- ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
- OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
- PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
- PRESLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
- TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
- TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL