Applied Resolutions LLC An Independent Review Organization 900 N. Walnut Creek Suite 100 PMB 290 Mansfield, TX 76063

Phone: (817) 405-3524 Fax: (888) 567-5355

Email: @appliedresolutionstx.com

Notice of Independent Review Decision

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE: X

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION: X

REVIEW OUTCOME:

Χ

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW:

Χ

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

X is a X who sustained an X on X. A X on X. The diagnoses included X. X was seen by X, MD on X for continued X. X reported X at the X where a X was present. That X was not present before the X. It had X over time. X had X to the X. X tried X with X. X performed X with X. X admitted some benefits with X. The X was described as X. The X was X in the X and X. It was X and X. The X was X. X examination revealed X. X was X and X. There was X along with the X. There was a X and X. Per the note, X were X. X revealed X present to the X, within the X that was X; no X. On X, X complained of X. X continued to have X. X reported X. X revealed a X. But also noted that X. There was X along with the X. X and X were X. Per the note X were X; no X. X revealed X present to the X. On X, X reported X had X as instructed with X. X continued to complain of X. X admitted X. X revealed a X of X. But was also noted that the X was X and X. There was X along with the X. X and X were X. X were not ordered due to X. Per the note, X were X. X revealed X present to the X. A X was performed by an unknown X on X. It was concluded that X did not meet X

reported X occasionally during the X. X was unable to X due to X. X and X were rated as X as indicated by the X remaining X with X response, as well as all X. X were noted in X. The X may benefit from X to X the above X, and better prepare to X to X not tested. An X of the X on X showed a X. An X of the X on the same date demonstrated X around the X; however, that likely related to X and X. X to date included X. Per X by X, MD on X, the request for X. Rationale: "This request is not supported. It is unclear why a request of the X is requested for this X. The official X of the X dated X specifically states that X. Additionally, X have been performed demonstrating any objective evidence of X. There are also no complaints that the X on X is X and it is also not X on X. Furthermore, there are no subjective complaints of any X nor mention of any attempted X which may also be beneficial for X. Accordingly, the X requests are not X." Per X by X, MD on X, the request for X. Rationale: "This X has continued X and there are findings of X as well as X. However, as stated in the previous review, X have been performed indicating X. X of the X also does not indicate any X. As such, the X request is not medically necessary. Furthermore, during the peer discussion with Dr. X the X results were discussed. Subjectively the X is still having X. There is a X. There have been no X, it was stated. The guidelines were discussed. Treating provider acknowledged X does not show a X. There were no X. The treating provider understands the guidelines. The request is not approved."

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

The X recommends X for X when there is a X. The X does not address general X. Medical literature supports X for X. The documentation provided indicates that the X reports ongoing X. A X of the X documented X. X were noted to be X. An X of the X noted and X. The provider has recommended a X. Based upon the documentation provided, X would be supported as there is documentation of X. As such, X of X with X, as X is supported as medically necessary.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

☐ ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
☐ AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
☐ DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
\square EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
☐ INTERQUAL CRITERIA
☑ MEDICAL JUDGMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN
ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
☐ MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
☐ MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
☑ ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
\square OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED
GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
\square PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A
DESCRIPTION)
\square PRESLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
\square TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE
PARAMETERS
☐ TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL