

MedHealth Review, Inc. 422 Panther Peak Drive Midlothian, TX 76065 Ph 972-921-9094 Fax (972) 827-3707

Notice of Independent Review Decision

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

X

The reviewer agrees with the previous adverse determination regarding the prospective medical necessity of a X.

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW X

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

Patient is a X who presented on X with a date of injury of X. Diagnosis includes X, X, X, X. The claimant has a history of X and X. Pain is rated a X. X, X with X. The pain is constant. The X examination noted the X on the X and the X.

X is X, the X is X. The pain is X and any X. There were X or X. Previous and current treatments include X as well as X.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

X and X, X are not recommended X for X, based on insufficient evidence. Recommended on a case-by-case basis as X. This is a condition that is generally considered X. Instead of X for X, X is recommended. Current research is minimal in terms of trials of any X or X. Below are current reviews on the topic and articles cited. There is some evidence of success of treatment with X for treatment. Per evidence-based guidelines, and the records submitted, this request is non-certified. The requested X is not considered medically necessary in this case. The claimant has a history of X, there were no documentation of any X on exam. Additionally, there were no documented objective imaging to support X. As such, the request is not considered medically necessary in this case. Therefore, the request for X is not medically necessary.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

ACOEM- AMER	RICAN COLLEGE OF
OCCUPATIONAL &	ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE
UM KNOWLEDGEB	ASE
AHRQ- AGENC	Y FOR HEALTHCARE
RESEARCH & QUAI	LITY GUIDELINES

CO	DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS MPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
OF	EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
	INTERQUAL CRITERIA
	MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL PERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE TH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
GUIDE	MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE LINES
	MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
TREA	ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & ATMENT GUIDELINES
☐ ADVIS	PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY SOR
QUA	TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC ALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
	TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
ME	PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED DICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
FOC	OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY OF OUTCOME CUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A SCRIPTION)