Maximus Federal Services, Inc. 807 S. Jackson Rd., Suite B Pharr, TX 78577

Tel: 888.866.6205 • Fax: 585.425.5296 • Alternative Fax:

888.866.6190

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE

X

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

Physician, Board Certified in X

REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

X

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW X

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

This patient is a X with a X, X and X. Treatment has included X and X. X of the X was reported to X, as well as a X and X. The imaging was not provided for review. Examination documented an X, X, X with X has been recommended.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

As it X, Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) recommend X where symptoms are noted to be consistent with a X, after X for treatment of X is not recommended.

In this case, the provided records do not clearly indicate evidence of a X noted on examination or X. Furthermore, there is limited evidence provided to demonstrate that an X has been attempted and X. Specifically, the information provided for review included insufficient clinical documentation outlining the complete extent of the treatment attempted, including X, if attempted, and the duration of this treatment. Therefore, based on the information provided for review, the guideline-based criteria for this procedure have not been met and the requested procedure is considered not medically necessary according to this standard.

Therefore, X have determined that X is not medically necessary for treatment of this patient's condition.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

	ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF
	OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM
]	KNOWLEDGEBASE
	AHRQ-AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH &
	OUALITY GUIDELINES

	WC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION IES OR GUIDELINES
_	UROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF NIC LOW BACK PAIN
	TERQUAL CRITERIA
AND E	EDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE XPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED CAL STANDARDS
M GUIDELI	ERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE NES
M	ILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
—	DG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & MENT GUIDELINES
PI ADVISOR	RESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY
	EXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC TY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
	MF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
	EER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED CAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION):
VALID, O	THER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY OUTCOME
HOUIS	SED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)