I-Resolutions Inc. An Independent Review Organization 3616 Far West Blvd Ste 117-501 IR Austin, TX 78731

Phone: (512) 782-4415 Fax: (512) 790-2280

Email: @i-resolutions.com

Notice of Independent Review Decision

Description of the service or services in dispute:

Description of the qualifications for each physician or other health care provider who reviewed the decision:

Board Certified X

Upon Independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination / adverse determinations should be:

Χ

Information Provided to the IRO for Review X

Patient Clinical History (Summary)

X who was injured on the X. X was X, and when X was X. The medical history was significant for X. The diagnosis was X and X.

An office visit by X, MD was documented on X. X presented for follow-up of X, with a X with X new X, going from X. X did this with a X to be X. X had been having X with X having to use X. X sustained an injury to X and was treated by Dr. X including X where X was X and X. The pain was located on the X and X. It was X, and X. X had done X and X with Dr. X, tried X, now X. X had been using X. X was not interested in trying X. This was X and X and X sought an appeal from Workers' Compensation for approval to obtain X. On examination, the X showed X. X; and X and well

X. X was noted to X on the X. The assessment was X. The plan was to work on X and eventually do a X.

X of the X taken in the office on X, revealed X and X. In X, X had X and X. In X, X had X.

Treatment to date medications X.

Per a utilization review adverse determination letter dated X the request for X was denied by X, MD. Rationale: "This X has primary X in the X. X is X and X, which the ODG recommends as a X in its guidelines. X is X. All X. X has been X. The ODG has criteria for X, but they do X should be used. The ODG just states that X has not been proven X. The ODG does, however, state that the "approach of these procedures should be left to the discretion of the X." This proposal is not for a X. Despite all the criteria in favor of this requested procedure, the X, and the ODG states that the X should have a documented attempt at X. Therefore, the request is denied."

Per a reconsideration review adverse determination letter dated X, the appeal request X was denied by X, MD. Rationale: "Per ODG, 'X: Not recommended based on lack of evidence showing X.' Also, per ODG, 'X.' The patient has a X and the X notes the plan is to continue to X. X is not recommended for patients with a X. Additionally, X is not supported by guidelines and the X notes the claimant is an acceptable candidate for X as the X does not think X has X. This request is not certified."

Analysis and Explanation of the Decision include Clinical Basis, Findings and Conclusions used to support the decision.

The ODG recommends X when there has been a X and X and X. The ODG does not recommend X based on lack of evidence showing X. The provided documentation indicates this X and X. There are X findings consistent with X. The X is noted to be X. Per the most recent provided progress note from X, the treatment plan was continued X to get the X. There is no evidence

that the current X. There are no documented X. As such, X is not medically necessary.

A description and the source of the screening criteria or other clinical basis used to make the decision:

	ACOEM-America College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine
	AHRQ-Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Guidelines
	DWC-Division of Workers Compensation Policies and Guidelines
	European Guidelines for Management of Chronic Low Back Pain
	Interqual Criteria
V	Medical Judgment, Clinical Experience, and expertise in accordance with accepted medical standards
	Mercy Center Consensus Conference Guidelines
	Milliman Care Guidelines
\checkmark	ODG-Official Disability Guidelines and Treatment Guidelines
	Pressley Reed, the Medical Disability Advisor
	Texas Guidelines for Chiropractic Quality Assurance and Practice Parameters
	TMF Screening Criteria Manual
	Peer Reviewed Nationally Accepted Medical Literature (Provide a description)
	Other evidence based, scientifically valid, outcome focused guidelines (Provide a description)