Maximus Federal Services, Inc. 807 S. Jackson Rd., Suite B Pharr, TX 78577

Tel:888.866.6205 \*Fax:585.425.5296 \*Alternative Fax: 888.866.6190

\_\_\_\_

#### **Notice of Independent Medical Review Decision**

#### **Reviewer's Report**

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE

X

# A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

Physician, Board Certified in X

#### **REVIEW OUTCOME**

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

X

### INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW X

#### **PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:**

This patient is a X with a X. The patient reported that X was X when X and X. The patient was diagnosed with a X and X. X of the X was obtained on X and demonstrated imaging features of a X with at least a

X retracted X, X, X for a X and X. MRI of the X obtained on X documented X, as well as concern for X and X, and a X. Clinical documents indicate that a X was attempted with X. There was X. A X and X have been recommended.

## ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

The Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) recommend X. As it pertains to X, the ODG recommend X, X with X and/or X. In this case, the request is for X as well as X of a X. Although the provided medical records do not clearly document a X treatment for the X, which would meet the ODG guidelines for recommended treatment prior to X, the X in this case of the X the recommendation for X. A X of the X has been shown to result in X, X and X need for X. Therefore, based upon a review of the medical records provided, consideration of the ODG guidelines and specific elements of this case, the requested X is considered medically necessary and supported by the relevant guidelines.

Therefore, X have determined that authorization and coverage for X and X are medically necessary for treatment of this patient's condition.

## A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

ACOEM- AMER	ICAN COLLEGE OF
OCCUPATIONAL &	ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM
KNOWLEDGEBASE	

QUA	AHRQ-AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & LITY GUIDELINES
POL	DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION ICIES OR GUIDELINES
СНЕ	EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF RONIC LOW BACK PAIN
	INTERQUAL CRITERIA
	MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED DICAL STANDARDS
GUIDE	MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE CLINES
	MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
TRE	ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & ATMENT GUIDELINES
 ADVIS	PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY OR
QUA	TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC ALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
	TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
☐ MEI	PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED DICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION):

OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)