# Applied Resolutions LLC An Independent Review Organization 900 N. Walnut Creek Suite 100 PMB 290 Mansfield, TX 76063

Phone: (817) 405-3524 Fax: (888) 567-5355

Email: @appliedresolutionstx.com

### **DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:**

# A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION: X

#### **REVIEW OUTCOME:**

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

Χ

#### INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW:

Χ

#### **PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:**

X who was X. X was X. The diagnosis was X. On X was evaluated by X, MD and reported X. X rated the X. The X. After the X had X. X of the X. X included X. An X. Treatment to date X. On X, the initial request for X was denied. X "The X has documented X. diagnostic X are recommended X. However, X is noted for X. These X. Therefore, the requested X is not medically necessary. Per a Peer Clinical Review Report dated X, the appeal for X was non-certified. X: "The most recent X, MD indicates X. The X revealed X. Given this information as X. Therefore, based on the medical documentation provided, and using the evidence-based, peer-

reviewed guidelines, recommendation is to non-certify this request."

# ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

Based on the clinical information provided, the request for X is not recommended as medically necessary, and the previous denials are upheld. There is insufficient information to support a change in determination, and the previous non-certifications are upheld. The Official Disability Guidelines require X. The submitted clinical records indicate that the X. It is unclear which X are being requested. There is X.

Therefore, medical necessity is not established in accordance with current evidence based guidelines.

# A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

$\sqcup$ ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL $\&$ ENVIRONMENTAL
MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
$\square$ AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
$\square$ DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
$\square$ EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
□ INTERQUAL CRITERIA
☑ MEDICAL JUDGMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
☐ MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
☐ MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
☑ ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
☐ OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
$\square$ PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
☐ PRESLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR

$\square$ TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE
PARAMETERS
☐ TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL