# True Resolutions Inc. An Independent Review Organization 1301 E. Debbie Ln. Ste. 102 #624

Mansfield, TX 76063 Phone: (512) 501-3856

Fax: (888) 415-9586

Email: @trueresolutionsiro.com

**DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:** X

## A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION: X

#### **REVIEW OUTCOME:**

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

X

#### INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW:

Χ

#### PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

X with date of injury X. X was X. The X. X, MD evaluated X on X and X. On X presented for a X. X had X. X also X. X was X. Also it was Dr. X. By Dr. X showed X. On X. This was X. This was X. X had a X. X with X and X. X was noted at the X. X did X. This was X. X revealed X. X, but X was noted. X was noted with X. The X. Also X were noted in the X. On X presented for X. X with X. X had X. X also X. X had been X. X was X. As X also it was Dr. X the reviewer may have X. By X. While documented in the X. This was X. This was X. X had a X and a X. X and X. A X was also noted. Again, the X. X was X noted. X but X. X with X and X. X was X. An X. An X there was X. The X in the X. The X at the X were X. These X. Treatment to date consisted of X. X "As the request for X is non-certified, this request is non-certified." Guidelines used was Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Treatment

Guidelines X. It was also stated that X. The X had noted that this was a X. The X had reported that a X. The X had X. The X had noted that it X. The X noted that there was a X and a X. Per a Peer Review dated X, the appeal request for X was non-certified by X, MD. X. As such, the X request X. Therefore, X recommendation is to X. It was also documented that X, Not recommended, since X.

## ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

The medical records provided note the X is X. As such, the request for X is not medically necessary. In addition, ODG does not support the X.

The previous denial is upheld as medical necessity is not established.

### A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

□ ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL   MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
☐ AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
$\square$ DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
☐ EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
☐ INTERQUAL CRITERIA
☑ MEDICAL JUDGMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
☐ MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
☐ MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
☑ ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
☐ OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
$\square$ PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
☐ PRESLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR

$\square$ TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE
PARAMETERS
☐ TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL