



#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:**

X

## A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION:

X

#### REVIEW OUTCOME:

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

X

## INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW:

X

## PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The patient is X who was recommended to X. The primary diagnosis was X.

X of the X dated X reported X.

Per the progress note dated X, the patient was seen for a follow-up visit with X of X. The current symptoms were X with X. Upon X examination there was X.

On X, the patient was seen for a follow-up visit. X continued to have X. X had X medical history X for X and X. Physical examination of X revealed X. The treating provider recommended X.

On X, an X report detailed that the patient had X.

A determination letter dated X stated that the requested X was denied as there was no indication X and the physical examination did not identify X.

A determination letter dated X upheld the initial denial determination as there was no additional documentation submitted indicating that the individual had X.

# ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

The patient is X with the date of injury of X. X underwent X to X. On X, the patient underwent X. The X was previously authorized. Official Disability Guidelines recommends X for patients with X.

On X, it was noted that the patient X. It was also noted on this exam, that X had X and X was recommended. As part of X on X, X was X. This is appropriate as this was X at X. Based on X and X of X this X. X was not X as it was X. X could have X and would not be advisable. As such, the request is medically necessary, and the prior denials are overturned.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OROTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:	
	ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM
	KNOWLEDGEBASE
	AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
	DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
	EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACKPAIN
	INTERQUAL CRITERIA
	MEDICAL JUDGMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED
	MEDICAL STANDARDS
	MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
✓	ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
	PRESLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
	TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
	TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
	PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
	OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A
	DESCRIPTION)