## I-Resolutions Inc. An Independent Review Organization 3616 Far West Blvd Ste 117-501 IR Austin, TX 78731

Phone: (512) 782-4415 Fax: (512) 790-2280

Email: @i-resolutions.com

Description of the service or services in dispute:

Description of the qualifications for each physician or other health care provider who reviewed the decision:

Board Certified X

Upon Independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination / adverse determinations should be:

Information Provided to the IRO for Review X

## Patient Clinical History (Summary)

X who sustained an X when X. X stated that X. The diagnoses included X.

X was seen by X, DO on X for X and X. X by X and X. X stated X. X was X and X. The pain was X. The pain was X and X. X with X. X had X had to X and X. X had X. X was X. X was X and X. X to X. On examination, X was X. X examination X of the X. X had X and X. X revealed a X. There was X with X and X. X had X from the X. X and X of the X. X had X. On X reported X. The provider noted that it was X, which were X by Dr. X office and had been X. X would X.

A X was completed by X, MD on X documenting X had X. The X as a X. A X was performed by Dr. X. X with X. It was X or X. On X, there was X. X

with X. X produced X. X could X. The reported X had X. The X was rated as X. The X

An X revealed X. X of the X showed X or X. X of the X.

Treatment to date included X.

Per notification of adverse determination by X, MD, on X, the request for X was non-certified. X: "There was X. Also, the request for X is only recommended in X. Furthermore, there was X.

Per peer review by X, MD on X, the request for X was non-certified. X: "Based on the clinical information submitted for this review and using the evidence-based, peer review guidelines referenced above, this request is not medically necessary. In X and in the X that would require X from the guidelines, the request for X is not medically necessary as the procedure it is not recommended based on a X.

Analysis and Explanation of the Decision include Clinical Basis, Findings and Conclusions used to support the decision.

The request for X is non-certified and the previous denials are upheld. There is insufficient information to support a change in determination, and the previous non-certifications are upheld. Post X indicates that the X. The X. Additionally, the Official Disability Guidelines note that X are not recommended based on a X. Since X has been X. There is insufficient information to support this request, therefore medical necessity is not established given the medical records provided.

## A description and the source of the screening criteria or other clinical basis used to make the decision:

ACOEM-America College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine
AHRQ-Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Guidelines
DWC-Division of Workers Compensation Policies and Guidelines
European Guidelines for Management of Chronic Low Back Pain
Intergual Criteria

<b>V</b>	Medical Judgment, Clinical Experience, and expertise in accordance with accepted medical standards
	Mercy Center Consensus Conference Guidelines
	Milliman Care Guidelines
$\checkmark$	ODG-Official Disability Guidelines and Treatment Guidelines
	Pressley Reed, the Medical Disability Advisor
	Texas Guidelines for Chiropractic Quality Assurance and Practice Parameters
	TMF Screening Criteria Manual
	Peer Reviewed Nationally Accepted Medical Literature (Provide a description)
	Other evidence based, scientifically valid, outcome focused guidelines (Provide a description)