



# Notice of Workers' Compensation Independent Review Decision

## **DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:** X

## INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW: X

## PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

This case involves a X who was seen in the clinic on X for a chief complaint of X. X reported X. X pain was rated X on the pain scale. X described the pain as X. X reported X since X. The diagnosis for the encounter was X. The pain X and was X with subsequent X for X and X. X had X with X. X including X, X and X was reported as X. The pain X to the point that X and X. Objective examination findings to the X revealed pain with X. The request for authorization was for a X. The patient was to follow-up in the clinic in one month for reevaluation.

# ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

The Official Disability Guidelines indicate that X is recommended for a X following a X and X. The guidelines also indicate that for a X evaluation there should be evidence that X criteria have been evaluated for and fulfilled. A X is recommended for select patients in X to X, but X use is not recommended. The patient had undergone an X on X showing a X and an X showing no X. There were no MRI or X findings noted. The patient had X. The provider did mention X secondarily but did not mention X being evaluated for. The request for the X was made related to the diagnosis of X. Medical necessity





has not been established related to X as there were X noted and the X were diagnostic. Therefore, the requested X is not medically necessary. As such, the prior determination is upheld.

#### **SOURCE OF REVIEW CRITERIA:**

	ACOEM – American College of Occupational & Environmental
Medicine UM Knowledgebase	
	AHRQ – Agency for Healthcare Research & Quality Guidelines
	DWC – Division of Workers' Compensation Policies or
Guidelines	
	European Guidelines for Management of Chronic Low Back
Pain	
	Interqual Criteria
	Medical Judgment, Clinical Experience, and Expertise in
Accordance with Accepted Medical Standards	
	Mercy Center Consensus Conference Guidelines
	Milliman Care Guidelines
$\boxtimes$	ODG- Official Disability Guidelines & Treatment Guidelines
X	
	Presley Reed, the Medical Disability Advisor
	Texas Guidelines for Chiropractic Quality Assurance & Practice
Parameters	
	TMF Screening Criteria Manual
$\boxtimes$	Peer Reviewed Nationally Accepted Medical Literature
X	
X	
	Other Evidence Based, Scientifically Valid, Outcome Focused
Guidelines (Provide a Description)	





### **REVIEW OUTCOME:**

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION: Board certified in X