

530 N. Crockett #1770 Granbury, Texas 76048 Ph 972-825-7231 Fax 972-274-9022

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE X

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

The reviewer is a Medical Doctor who is board certified in X

REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

Χ

The reviewer agrees with the previous adverse determination regarding the medical necessity of:

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

This patient is a X who sustained an X. The mechanism of injury was X. X sustained a X.

A review of records indicated that the patient was X. Past medical history was X. X that the patient was a X. X had included X.

The X documented X. There was X or X. At X there was a X. At X there was a X. At X there was a X. X at X of the X. X at X of the X.

The X documented a X. Current medications included X. It was noted that the patient was having X. X could not X. X had not had any X. X documented X. X documented X. The X was reviewed and showed X. X had a X. The diagnosis included X with X. The treatment plan recommended X.

The X report concluded that the X.

Authorization was requested on X.

The X utilization review determination indicated that the request for X stay was denied. The rationale stated that there was X presented to X. There were X submitted to X. There was also X.

The X utilization review determination indicated the denial of the request for X stay was upheld. The rationale stated that evidence of X could not be fully established as there X.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

The prospective request for X is not medically necessary. The denial is upheld. The Official Disability Guidelines state that X is recommended when required X. Guidelines also recommend X. Findings require evidence of: X. Imaging findings require one of the following: X.

This patient has a X. X is X. Clinical exam findings have documented X. X had included X. X for X is noted. X is noted to be a X. Under

consideration is a request for X. In this case, guideline criteria have not been fully met. The most recent X submitted for review is dated X. There is X. There is X as recommended in the X report submitted for review. There is X of X. There is X. There is X. Therefore, this request is for X stay is not medically necessary.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

ENV	ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & IRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
QUA	AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & LITY GUIDELINES
POL	DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION ICIES OR GUIDELINES
CHR	EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF ONIC LOW BACK PAIN
	INTERQUAL CRITERIA
	MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND ERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL NDARDS
GUIDE	MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE LINES
	MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES

TRE	ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & ATMENT GUIDELINES
	PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
ASS	TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY SURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
	TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
LITI	PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL ERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
OUTC	OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID,
	CUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)