C-IRO Inc.

An Independent Review Organization 3616 Far West Blvd Ste 117-501 Cl Austin, TX 78731

Phone: (512) 772-4390 Fax: (512) 387-2647

Email: @ciro-site.com

Description of the service or services in dispute:

Description of the qualifications for each physician or other health care provider who reviewed the decision:

Board Certified X

Upon Independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination / adverse determinations should be:

X

Patient Clinical History (Summary)

X with date of X. X was X. X had a X. X was X when X X.

Per X dated X, PT evaluated X for X. X of X. X had X, but X. X stated that the X and at X. The pain was X. According to the X. The pain was X. X had also X. X did X since the X. On examination of X. X had X. X revealed X, and X.

Treatment to date consisted of X.

Per a utilization review dated X, MD non-certified the X. Rationale: "Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) states X. ODG supports continued X. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of a request for X. Additionally, the patient had X. Also, the X. However, X is not medically necessary and is not certified."

Per a utilization review dated X, MD non-certified the appeal request for X. Rationale: "There was a previous determination for this request that resulted in denial. The patient X and was X. The patient has a X. Per ODG, X." The patient has had X. As the patient has a X, it does not seem to be medically necessary for the X. Therefore, the requested X is upheld and denied."

Analysis and Explanation of the Decision include Clinical Basis, Findings

Analysis and Explanation of the Decision include Clinical Basis, Finding and Conclusions used to support the decision.

Based on the clinical information provided, the request for X is not recommended as medically necessary, and the previous denials are upheld. The submitted clinical records indicate that the patient has X. The request for X would continue to exceed guideline recommendations. When X the guidelines, X should be noted. There are X. Additionally, guidelines would X. The patient has X.

A description and the source of the screening criteria or other clinical basis used to make the decision:

| | ACOEM-America College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine |
|----------|--|
| | AHRQ-Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Guidelines |
| | DWC-Division of Workers Compensation Policies and Guidelines |
| | European Guidelines for Management of Chronic Low Back Pain |
| | Interqual Criteria |
| V | Medical Judgment, Clinical Experience, and expertise in accordance with accepted medical standards |
| | Mercy Center Consensus Conference Guidelines |
| | Milliman Care Guidelines |
| ✓ | ODG-Official Disability Guidelines and Treatment Guidelines |
| | Pressley Reed, the Medical Disability Advisor |
| | Texas Guidelines for Chiropractic Quality Assurance and Practice Parameters |
| | TMF Screening Criteria Manual |

| Peer Reviewed Nationally Accepted Medical Literature (Provide a description) Other evidence based, scientifically valid, outcome focused guidelines (Provide a description) |
|--|
| |