## MAXIMUS Federal Services, Inc. 807 S. Jackson Rd., Suite B Pharr, TX 78577 Tel: 956-588-2900 + Fax: 1-877-380-6702 <u>PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:</u>

The claimant is a X with a remote work injury occurring at the age of X with date of injury on X.

X injury occurred while working as a X when X was X. A couple of days later, X was unable to X.

An MRI scan of the X on X included findings of a X. At X. The scan was compared with a prior MRI in X.

Electrodiagnostic testing was done on X showing findings of X.

Treatments have included a X. On X, X underwent an X. On X, X was X weeks status X. X reported some improvement in X symptoms. There had been an X. The numbress in X. The treatment plan included continuing X.

Electrodiagnostic testing was done on X included findings of X. There was no evidence of an X. The report recommended a referral back to an X as there had been an excellent response nearly X years before.

X was seen on X. X history of injury and treatment was reviewed. X had a chief complaint related to X. X also had complaints of pain and X. X had symptoms rated at X. X has been unable to continue working after a worsening of symptoms in X. X original symptoms were much improved after the X. X was currently taking X. Physical examination findings included X. X was X. X was able to X. X had X. There were no X noted in either X. There was X. There was X. The treatment plan included an MRI scan of the X. An MRI scan of the X was done on X with a clinical history of X. There were findings of X.

On X, the MRI result was reviewed. Complaints appear unchanged. X pain was rated at X. The MRI results were discussed. A repeat X was recommended which X was to consider.

X was seen on X. X had X. X current medications included X. Physical examination findings included a X. X had X. There was a diagnosis of X. X was continued. X was referred for a X.

X was seen for an initial evaluation on X. X had X pain rated at X. X pain was X. Associated symptoms included X. X was having X. Aggravating activities included X. X prior treatments had included X.

Physical examination findings included a body mass index of X. X had normal X. There was a normal examination of X. X testing was negative. X were X. Imaging results were reviewed. The treatment plan included a X.

## ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

ODG criteria for the use of a X include X, defined as pain in X.

In this case, there are X examination findings, such as X.

There is also X in terms of the X.

Therefore, I have determined the requested authorization for X is not medically necessary for treatment of the patient's medical condition.

## A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM
KNOWLEDGEBASE
AHRQ-AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH &
QUALITY GUIDELINES
<b>DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION</b>
POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
<b>EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF</b>
CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
<b>INTERQUAL CRITERIA</b>
<b>MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE</b>
AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED
MEDICAL STANDARDS
MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE
GUIDELINES
MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES &
TREATMENT GUIDELINES
<b>PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY</b>
ADVISOR

**TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC OUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS** 

TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL

PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED

MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)

OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME

FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)