

MedHealth Review, Inc. 422 Panther Peak Drive Midlothian, TX 76065 Ph 972-921-9094 Fax (972) 827-3707

### **Notice of Independent Review Decision**

#### **DATE NOTICE SENT TO ALL PARTIES:** X

IRO CASE #: X

### DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE

Χ

# A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

The reviewer is a Medical Doctor who is board certified in X. The reviewer has been practicing for X.

#### **REVIEW OUTCOME**

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

Χ

#### PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

Patient complains of X that X. MRI X. X is able to X. Able X. X level now is X, at X. X is described as X. Medication helps with X. On exam, X. X positive on X. X noted. Patient was diagnosed with X.

## ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

Official X- Chapter: X

#### Selective X

Recommended on a case-by-case basis as a X. Indications for X:

- (1) To determine the level of X when the diagnosis remains uncertain after a standard evaluation using a X. Specific examples of suggested utilization are the following:
- a. To evaluate X generator when X and X differ from those found on X
- To determine X generators when there is evidence of X
- c. To determine X generators when clinical findings are consistent with X, but X studies are inconsistent
- d. To identify the origin of X in patients who have had X

X procedure in which the X. This differs from a X, in which the X. X is proposed for use as a X in patients with X where the diagnosis remains uncertain after standard evaluation. This procedure is primarily performed prior to a surgical procedure addressing X and is not X. There is limited research to support this procedure, and all of the studies have X limitations. The validity of X may be reduced in patients with X.

Per evidence-based guidelines, and the records submitted, this request is non-certified. Per X is recommended to evaluate a X when X differ from those found imaging studies. In this case, MRI dated X showed that at X. Recent report dated X noted that the patient complained of X which radiated into the X. X had X. The current request was for X. However, clinical findings presented were already suggestive of X at the patient's X of which the X. In addition,

the present clinical findings were limited to indicate presence of multilevel X and there was no previous X to support the request for a diagnostic X. Therefore, this request is not medically necessary.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

	ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE KNOWLEDGEBASE
RES	AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE SEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
COI	DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS MPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
OF (	EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT CHRONIC LOW BACK X
	INTERQUAL CRITERIA
	MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL PERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE TH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
U GUIDE	MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE LINES
	MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
⊠ TRE	ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & ATMENT GUIDELINES

☐ ADVIS	PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY OR
QUA	TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC ALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
	TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
MED	PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED DICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
FOC	OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY, OUTCOME SUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A SCRIPTION)