

CASEREVIEW

**8017 Sitka Street
Fort Worth, TX 76137
Phone: 817-226-6328
Fax: 817-612-6558**

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The claimant is a X who was injured on X while X. X originally was treated with an X. Later X was seen by X PCP and given an X. X completed several sessions of X.

On X, MRI X Impression: X.

On X, the claimant presented to X, FNP-C with X that were described as X. X reported X. The pain was relieved by X. Exam: X is normal but X. X limited in all X. Palpation over the X. Palpation over the X is moderately X at X. Palpation over the X. Palpation of X revealed X. X is positive on the X. Pain is worsened by X. X test is positive for pain in the X. X referral pain pattern is noted. X test is positive. X: X. Assessment: 1.X, 2.X, 3.X, 4. X 5.X, 6.X. Plan: prescribe X, refer to Dr. X for evaluation and consideration of X.

On X, the claimant presented to X, MD with X pain. On exam X was poor. X were diminished in the X. X was positive on the X. X pain on X. Plan: X. If X is successful, X, followed by X will be requested.

On X, the claimant presented to X, MD with continued X pain rated X. Recommendation of X. It was reported that the claimant has a degree of X about X. It was communicated the importance to minimize sudden movement during the procedure. Therefore, the claimant communicated willingness for anesthesia during the procedure.

On X, X, MD performed a UR. Rationale for Denial: In the clinical records submitted for review, the examination of the X revealed X. X testing was negative X. No documentation of X would warrant the use of anesthesia. There was a pain in the X. An MRI of the X performed on X with an impression of X. Although the

request for X, may be reasonable, given the state of jurisdiction, as not all requests are consistent with guidelines, a peer to peer discussion must take place for partial approvals. Therefore, due to the request for X, the request is non-certified.

On X, X, MD performed a UR. Rationale for Denial: As noted previously, although the requested injection procedure is supported, given that the request included X, requesting X during the procedure, the request in its entirety cannot be supported. There was no indication that the patient suffered from X to support the need for X during the requested X.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

PER ODG:

Recommended, but no more than one set of X should be performed prior to X, if X is chosen as an option for treatment. X may be performed with the anticipation that if successful, treatment may proceed to X.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

- ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
- AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
- DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
- EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
- INTERQUAL CRITERIA
- MEDICAL JUDGMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS

- MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
- MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
- ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
- PRESLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
- TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
- TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
- PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
- OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)