

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

This patient is a X who sustained an industrial injury on X. The mechanism of injury was described as a X. Past medical history was X. X had included activity X. The X MRI impression documented X. There was a X. There was attenuation of the X. There was X. The X orthopedic report cited complaints of X. X denied any issues with X. X had an X. X had been working on a X for X with X. X exam documented X. X had X testing. X was X testing. X did not do a X testing. MRI was reviewed and showed a X. X also had X. The diagnosis was X. This patient had a X. The treatment plan recommended X. The X utilization review non-certified the request for X. The rationale stated that the MRI noted X. The X utilization review non-certified the appeal request for X. The rationale stated that there was X. The X orthopedic appeal letter indicated that the injured worker presented X. X did not have any X. X had initiated X with X prior to initial orthopedic exam. X MRI was reviewed and showed an X. There was no evidence of X contrary to the official MRI reading. There was also X. There was also evidence of X. After interviewing and examining the patient, X was recommended given the X. X was subsequently denied because of X. The patient was examined on X. X had made no progress with X. X had X pain and X. X had X testing. X did not have any X. The official MRI findings were disputed stating there was X. There was X. Reconsideration was requested for X.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

The Official Disability Guidelines criteria for X. Criteria for objective clinical findings include X testing. There might be X. Criteria for imaging clinical findings includes evidence of X. X is not discussed as a criterion in the setting of a X.

The Official Disability Guidelines criteria for X.

This injured worker presents with X. Clinical exam findings are consistent with imaging evidence of a X. There is no evidence of significant X noted on the MRI report. Detailed evidence of at least X weeks of a X. Under consideration is a request for X. Guideline criteria have been met to support proceeding with the X based on the documented subjective and objective clinical findings, X. Guideline criteria have been met to support X. Additionally, X. Therefore, this request is medically necessary.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

ACOEM- AMERIC	AN COLLEGE OF
OCCUPATIONAL & E	NVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE
UM KNOWLEDGEBAS	E
AHRQ- AGENCY	FOR HEALTHCARE
RESEARCH & QUALIT	Y GUIDELINES
DWC- DIVISION C	F WORKERS
COMPENSATION POL	ICIES OR GUIDELINES

EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
INTERQUAL CRITERIA
MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
☐ TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)