Independent Resolutions Inc.
An Independent Review Organization
835 E. Lamar Blvd. #394
Arlington, TX 76011

Phone: (682) 238-4977 Fax: (888) 299-0415

**Email: @independentresolutions.com** 

#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:** X

# A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION: X

#### **REVIEW OUTCOME:**

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

Χ

### **PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:**

X who was injured on X. The mechanism of injury is not available in the records. The diagnosis was X. Per an X. The X were X. On X was evaluated by X. X was X. X continued to X. X stated the X. X showed X. X showed X. Treatment to date X. On X, a peer review was conducted in which the request for X was non-certified. Rationale: "The requested X does not meet the ODG guidelines. The primary reason for this is that the X. The X that X. The X. The X. Therefore, the requested X is not medically necessary." According to a peer review dated X the appeal request for a X was non-certified. Rationale: "In this case, the request for a X is not supported. The X. Standing X do not X. X of the X. The remaining ODG guidelines have not been met. Therefore, the request for a X is not shown to be medically necessary."

## ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

The ODG recommends X. The provided documentation indicates this X, and X. There is a X. The X findings including X. There is X. X from X. There is X. An X indicates the X.

Given the X and X the request for X is not supported as medically necessary.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:
☐ ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
$\square$ AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
$\ \square$ DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
$\hfill \square$ European Guidelines for management of Chronic Low back pain
☐ INTERQUAL CRITERIA
☑ MEDICAL JUDGMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
☐ MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
☐ MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
oxtimes ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
$\Box$ OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
$\square$ PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
$\square$ PRESLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
$\square$ TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS

☐ TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL