CPC Solutions An Independent Review Organization

P.O Box 121144

Arlington, TX 76012

Phone Number: (855) 360-1445 Fax Number: (817) 385-9607

Email: @irosolutions.com

A description of the qualifications for each physician or other health care provider who reviewed the decision:

Χ

Description of the service or services in dispute:

X

Upon Independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination / adverse determinations should be:

Χ

Information Provided to the IRO for Review:

X

Patient Clinical History (Summary)

The patient is a X. X was X. X and X. The patient has been authorized for X. X of the X. X is suggested. X and X appear X. X dated X. Office visit note dated X indicates that X. X did X for a X. X note dated X. X are X. X is X. X in the X. X dated X indicates that the X. X states X. On X has X, but X and X. X is X and X. X is X. There is X. X and X in the X. X on the X. X and X. X is noted with X. X is X. X are X. X is X. X is X. Otherwise, X and X. X notes X.

Analysis and Explanation of the Decision include Clinical Basis, Findings and Conclusions used to support the decision.

Based on the clinical information provided, the request for X is not recommended as medically necessary, and the previous denials are upheld. The initial request was non-certified noting that the X. It was unclear if the X. Further X would not be X ongoing medical necessity. The denial was upheld on appeal noting that X had been X. The patient

should X. There is insufficient information to support a X in determination, and the previous non-certifications are upheld. The submitted clinical records indicate that the X. The request for X would continue to exceed Official Disability Guidelines recommendations. When X should be noted. There are X. The submitted clinical records X. The X and should X.

A description and the source of the screening criteria or other clinical basis used to make the decision:

	ACOEM-America College of Occupational and Environmental				
	Medicine um knowledgebase AHRQ-Agency for Healthcare				
	Research and Quality Guidelines				
	DWC-Division of Workers Compensation				
	Policies and Guidelines European				
	Guidelines for Management of Chronic Low				
	Back Pain Internal Criteria				
	Medical Judgment, Clinical Experience, and expertise in accordance				
☑	with accepted medical standards Mercy Center Consensus				
	Conference Guidelines				
Milliman Care Guidelines					
	ODG-Official Disability Guidelines and				
☑	Treatment Guidelines Pressley Reed,				
	the Medical Disability Advisor				
	Texas Guidelines for Chiropractic Quality Assurance				
	and Practice Parameters TMF Screening Criteria				
	Manual				
	Peer Reviewed Nationally Accepted Médical Literature (Provide a description)				

Other evidence based, (Provide a description)	scientifically valid	, outcome focused guidelines	