

#### **Notice of Independent Review Decision**

### DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:

Χ

# A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION:

The reviewer is a Medical Doctor who is board certified in X

#### **REVIEW OUTCOME:**

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

X

The reviewer agrees with the previous adverse determination regarding the prospective medical necessity of X

### INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW: X

#### PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The claimant is a X whose date of X. X reports a X. X stated that X and X had a X and X. X dated X revealed X. There are X. X is seen at X. X in X is noted to X. X stated that X

made X. X had X and X. X note dated X to note the claimant is X. Office visit note dated X indicates that the X. X since the last office visit. X are X. X are X. X is X.

# ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

Per evidence-based guidelines, and the records submitted, this request is non-certified.

The initial request was non-certified noting that there is X. Evidence based guidelines do not recommend use of X. Given the X, the medical necessity of the request is not established. There is insufficient information to support a X and the previous non-certification is upheld. The claimant's X is not documented. There is X. Additionally, the Official Disability Guidelines note that X. Current research is X. Given the current clinical data, the appeal request for X is not medically necessary.

Not recommended X. Recommended on a case-by-case basis as X. This is a condition that is generally considered X. Instead of X is recommended. Current research is X. Below are current reviews on the X. There is some evidence of X.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE
UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE
RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS
COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT
OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
INTERQUAL CRITERIA
MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL
EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE
WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR

☐ TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC
QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)