



Specialty Independent Review Organization

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:

X

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION:

The reviewer is a Medical Doctor who is board certified in X

REVIEW OUTCOME:

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

X

The reviewer disagrees with the previous adverse determination regarding the prospective medical X

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

This patient is a X. The mechanism of injury was X. Past medical history was X.

X was diagnosed with a X. X underwent X. A review of the X.

The X. X had continued X. X was X. X range of X. X had completed X. It was noted that the X. X was X.

The X. There was a X. X was X. There was X. There was X. There was X.

The X. X documented X. X was documented as X. MRI was reviewed and X. X was noted X. The diagnosis included X.

The patient's X. It was noted that X. X had X. X had X. The treatment plan recommended X.

The X determination denied the request for X. The rationale stated that clarification was needed regarding the X as the guidelines stated that injection intervals should be a X. For X. Additionally, there was no documentation of X in the most recent medical report.

The X of continued X. The patient X. X had X. X had X. X had X. The treatment plan recommended X.

The X review determination denied the X as not medically necessary. The rationale stated X to support the medical necessity of this request. Additionally, the date of the last X was not documented.

The X. X reported X had X. X had X. X exam documented X. X exam was X. X was continuing with X. X under X. It was noted that X. The treatment plan recommended X.

**ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION
INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND
CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:**

The applicable Official Disability Guidelines recommend X for the short-term use only. Criteria for X

The applicable Official Disability Guidelines recommend X. Guidelines state that manipulation under X. Indications for X.

This patient presents with X. X preclude X. Clinical exam findings have documented X. X is X. X has X. Under consideration is a request for X. The X of findings evidence significant X. Failure of X. The X. There is now a X. Therefore, this request for X is medically necessary.

**A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE
SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS
USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:**

- ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE
UM KNOWLEDGBASE**
- AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE
RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES**
- DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS
COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES**
- EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT
OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN**
- INTERQUAL CRITERIA**
- MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL
EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE
WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS**
- MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE
GUIDELINES**
- MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES**
- ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES &
TREATMENT GUIDELINES**
- PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY
ADVISOR**
- TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC
QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS**
- TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL**
- PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED
MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)**
- OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY
VALID, OUTCOME
FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A
DESCRIPTION)**