Vanguard MedReview, Inc. 101 Ranch Hand Lane Aledo, TX 76008 P 817-751-1632 F 817-632-2619

IRO REVIEWER REPORT

X

IRO CASE #: X

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:

Χ

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION:

This case was reviewed by a Board-Certified X.

REVIEW OUTCOME:

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

Χ

Provide a description of the review outcome that clearly states whether medical necessity exists for each of the health care services in dispute.

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

X: MRI X interpreted by X, MD. Impression: 1. X. There is X. 2. X. 3. X. 4. X. 5. X.

X: Office Visit by X, MD. Assessment/Plan: X. At this point given X.

X: Encounter Summary by X, MD. **Assessment/Plan:** Last visit we did an X. X reports that provided X. Since then X has had a X.

X: Surgery Request by X, MD. X now had a X.

X: UR performed by X, MD. **Rationale for Denial:** Based on the clinical information submitted for this review and using the evidence-based, peer-reviewed guidelines referenced above, this request is non-certified. The clinical findings presented were X. In addition, clarification is needed as to when the patient had received a X. There were X.

X: UR performed by X, MD. **Rationale for Denial:** Based on the clinical information submitted for review and using the evidence based, peer-reviewed guidelines referenced above, this request is non-certified. There was X. Moreover, there was X. Furthermore, the patient was X to fully justify the need for this request.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

The request for X is denied.

This patient has X. X continues to have X. X recent MRI demonstrated X. X has X. X treating provider has recommended X.

The Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) supports X. X have X which correlate with X. Patients X.

This patient has X. However, X is under the X. There are X. The X identified on MRI are X. A X should be considered prior to X.

X is not medically necessary at this point in time.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

	ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL
MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE	
	AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
	DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
	EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
	INTERQUAL CRITERIA
	MEDICAL JUDGMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE
W۱	TH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
	MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
	MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
	ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
	PRESLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
	TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE
PARAMETERS	
	TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
	PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A
DESCRIPTION)	
	OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED
GU	IDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)