

Specialty Independent Review Organization

IRO REVIEWER REPORT

Х

IRO CASE #: X

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:

Х.

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION:

The reviewer is a Medical Doctor who is board certified in X.

REVIEW OUTCOME:

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

Х

The reviewer disagrees with the previous adverse determination regarding the X.

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

Claimant was injured on X while working as a X. X was reportedly standing in X. Records note the injury to the X. X has had X. X has had MR imaging of the X". X situation has progressed to a point where a X was being pursued prompting the request for the services in question.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

Claimant sustained injury on X as noted above. Initial treatment included X. Claimant had X. Documentation notes claimant receiving X. Persistent symptoms prompted electrodiagnostic study that demonstrated X. MR imaging of X was performed with the more significant finding of a X. X was discussed focusing on a X. Placement of a X was discussed, though claimant wished to "X". Return to X was not available as employer X. Without the option of a modified X, approval for a X was pursued. Initial request for the required X was denied. Appeal of the pre-authorization request was also denied.

Claimant sustained a work related injury and has completed X. Persistent symptoms prompted X evaluation with a recommendation for one procedure, but claimant declined indicating that X symptoms X. Discussion occurred regarding a X. ODG Guidelines indicate that the "best way to get an injured worker back to work is with a X. ODG then continues to clearly state "...but when an employer X". This situation is obviously the case with this claimant in that X is then allowed and recommended by ODG Guidelines.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE
SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS
USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:
ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF
OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE
UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
AHRQ- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE
RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS
COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT
OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
INTERQUAL CRITERIA
MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL
EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE
WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE
GUIDELINES
ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES &
TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
VALID, OUTCOME
FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A
DESCRIPTION)