

IMED, INC.

PO Box 558 Melissa, Texas 75454

Office: 214-223-6105 * Fax: 469-283-2928

* email: imeddallas@msn.com

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The claimant is a X who was injured on X while X. The claimant described developing X pain. The claimant was a noted X. No X was documented. The X dated X noted X. There was X noted producing X. The X clinical report noted continuing X pain to include pain at X. The report stated that the imaging demonstrated a X. The physical exam noted limited X. There was mild loss in X. There was no significant X. X were not recommended due to the X found on X studies. The recommendation for X was denied by utilization review due to the lack of documentation that the claimant had X.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

The claimant has described ongoing X pain for approximately X months. The records did not demonstrate that any X had been attempted to date to include X. While the records did not recommend X, the current evidence-based guidelines would support at least X to support a diagnosis of X. The MRI findings demonstrated evidence of X. There were no X evident that would support proceeding with X. Therefore, it is this reviewer's opinion that medical necessity for the request is not established and the prior denials are upheld.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

X MEDICAL JUDGMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS

X ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES