

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW:

Records were received and reviewed from the following parties: X, X, MD, and X

These records consist of the following (duplicate records are only listed from one source): Records reviewed from X: X WC Services:

Denial Letters-X Approval Letter-X

Records reviewed from X, MD:

X, MD/X XX:

History and Physical Reports-X Letter of Medical Necessity-X

X:

Patient Report-X

Records reviewed from X:

X XX:

Pre-Authorization Requests-X

X Imaging:

MRI Report-X

A copy of the ODG was not provided by the Carrier or URA for this review.

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

On X, the patient presented with complaints of X pain. The pain was described to be X. The patient also noted X. The

review of systems reveals X pain. On physical examination, the X showed moderate discomfort with X. The patient MRI of the X dated X documented moderate X, generalized X. The patient's prior treatment included X.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

Per evidence-based guidelines, and the records submitted, this request is non-certified. Per ODG, X are recommended as a possible option for short-term treatment of X pain with using conjunction with active rehab efforts. Not recommended for X or for nonspecific X pain. The guidelines list the criteria for use of X. In this case, the examination performed is very minimal and does not give clear indications of X. As such, medical necessity has not been established. Therefore, the requested appeal for X is not medically necessary, and this request is non-certified.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

	ACOEM- AMER	RICAN COLLEGE OF
	OCCUPATIONAL &	ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE
ι	JM KNOWLEDGEB	ASE
	AHCPR- AGEN	CY FOR HEALTHCARE
F	RESEARCH & QUAL	LITY GUIDELINES
	DWC- DIVISION	N OF WORKERS
	COMPENSATION PO	OLICIES OR GUIDELINES

	ROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT RONIC LOW BACK PAIN
IN7	TERQUAL CRITERIA
EXPERI	EDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL IENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE CCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
ME GUIDELIN	ERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE
MILLIM	IAN CARE GUIDELINES
	OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & MENT GUIDELINES
☐ PR ADVISOR	ESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY
	GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC TY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
TE	XAS TACADA GUIDELINES
TM	IF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
	ER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED AL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION
VALID, OU FOCUS	R EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY JTCOME ED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A IPTION)