

## PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The claimant is a X with injury date X. The claimant had a X. X has a diagnosis of X. X is noting X. On exam, X has X.

## ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

With regard to the X, according to an office note on X, there was documentation of the injured worker doing well with a X pain with reportedly X improvement, X had continued moderate X pain around the X and requested X was denied. There was also documentation of the plan to apply a X to the X. There was documentation that the X was not hot and there was X. However, there was no documentation why the X are being requested again as there was no mention of a plan to do these X after they were previously denied. Therefore, per evidence-based guidelines, and the records submitted, this request is not medically necessary.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

|   | ICAN COLLEGE OF<br>ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE<br>ASE |
|---|--------------------------------------------------|
| • | Y FOR HEALTHCARE<br>LITY GUIDELINES              |

| DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES                                        |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN                                         |
| INTERQUAL CRITERIA                                                                                  |
| MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS |
| MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES                                                        |
| MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES                                                                            |
| ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES                                          |
| PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR                                                       |
| ☐ TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS                         |
| TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL                                                                       |
| PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)                        |
| OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)      |