

530 N. Crockett #1770 Ph 972-825-7231

Granbury, Texas 76048 Fax 972-274-9022

## INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW

Records were received and reviewed from the following parties:

X, Inc

Dr. X

These records consist of the following (duplicate records are only listed from one source): Records reviewed from:

Dr. x

X Associates, clinical records of evaluation and treatment, X, multiple dates,

Physical Therapy Evaluation/Reevaluation notes, X, multiple dates,

Physical Medicine Therapeutic Notes, X

X Imaging, CT X, X

X Imaging, CT X, X

X XX Center, XX report X, X

X Associates, EMG/NCV X, X

X XX Center, XX report X, X

X, Inc

Determination Letter, X

Peer Review

Determination Letter, X

Peer Review

Authorization Request, X Medical, X RX & Letter of Medical Necessity, X X-XX information X Tx Pre-authorization request X

A copy of the ODG was not provided by the Carrier/URA for this review.

## **PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:**

This claimant was injured when X was working using a X. The XX XX, causing X XX XX to contact the XX XX. X had diagnostic studies and two subsequent surgeries. X continues to have X pain. X pain is managed by a pain doctor who has prescribed XX XX including X for the pain. X operating surgeon has requested a X to treat the X pain. X last examination by Dr. X at X Associates on X reported normal sensation and circulation in the X and X, no X, induration or color change, no abnormal temperature change, and good grip strength. The CT of the X showed good healing of the X with no X.

## ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

X is not medically necessary and is not certified.

ODG recommendations for X unit for X pain are as follows: Not recommended, except as an option for treatment of X when applied in combination with X.

Evidence Summary

X have little scientifically proven efficacy, especially for X, X or X symptoms, but even so, continue to be commonly used in X. (Milliman, 1998) Conflicting effects have been reported of X on pain outcomes in patients with X. X might be beneficial for reducing pain intensity and improving muscle power scores over X, while conversely, Conventional X resulted in no clinical benefit compared

with X. Not all patients tolerate X, which is reported to be uncomfortable. (<u>Brosseau-Cochrane</u>, 2003)

There could be some potential benefit for patients suffering from X. (<u>Cheing, 2005</u>) One controlled trial of short-term XX XX in conjunction with XX exercises suggested slightly improved XX function in a X group compared to X, but the authors did not advise X use beyond X weeks unless signs of objective progress towards functional restoration were clearly demonstrated. This claimant has no objective physical findings of XX, and X has had excellent healing of the surgery. There are no objective physical findings that are consistent with the subjective complaints of severe pain. Therefore, X is not medically necessary.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING
CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE
DECISION:

	ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL 8
ENV	IRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
	AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH &
QUA	ALITY GUIDELINES
	DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION
POL	ICIES OR GUIDELINES
	EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF
CHR	RONIC LOW BACK PAIN
	INTERQUAL CRITERIA

MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME
FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)